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Community-Based Adaptation

Climate change is considered globally as one of the most difficult challenges faced by humankind. Bangladesh is regarded as one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change, the impact of which will affect the poorest the most, threatening their food and water security. Moreover, it is likely that the current economic growth rate of the country will be slowed down due to adverse consequences of climate change.

The southwestern region (SWR) of the country has been suffering in recent decades due to its complex hydro-geophysical realities, compounded by geopolitical realities and socioeconomic context of the people. It is assumed that the impacts of climate change to the SWR are likely to be very high. The region has already exhibited forced out-migration of victims of extreme hydro-geophysical conditions. As a response to failing livelihoods in the SWR, non-government organizations (NGOs) have been experimenting with small-scale adaptation measures to strengthen adaptive capacities and resilience of the people. Such measures are generally known as Community Based Adaptations (CBA). Incidentally, CARE Bangladesh has been the pioneering organization to promote various approaches to CBAs since 2002. ‘Reducing Vulnerability to Climate Change (RVCC)’ was the first ever adaptation project, which was implemented by CARE Bangladesh during 2002-2005. Since the initiation of RVCC, many NGOs have been trying to build adaptive capacities by promoting CBAs in the SWR. Meanwhile, the Government of Bangladesh (GOB) has shown its commitment to address climate change—largely through institutional adaptation as well as low carbon development. The GOB acknowledges the effectiveness of CBAs to build community resilience.

CARE Bangladesh intends to learn from past CBA experiences and to integrate such good CBA practices in future programming. It is therefore necessary to identify, prioritize and evaluate the effective and scalable adaptation practices in the areas of food security and sovereignty, agriculture, water access and sustainable livelihoods in the SWR. CARE Bangladesh has recently conducted a study to evaluate a few selected best CBA practices which are tested in the SWR and prioritized by both the promoters and end users. This paper is the outcome of the research conducted. We think, the actors promoting CBA practices on the ground would find the analyses and recommendations useful towards designing CBA projects.

I sincerely thank Dr. Ahsan Uddin Ahmed for helping us to conduct this evidence based study. I am also thankful to the NGOs, communities and CARE colleagues who actively contributed in this study.

Zia Choudhury
Country Director
CARE Bangladesh
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CARE Bangladesh decided to evaluate a few selected best community-based adaptation (CBA) practices tested in the SWR of Bangladesh. Recognizing that CBA modalities have evolved and advanced primarily in the South-western region (SWR) of Bangladesh with the introduction of RVCC project (2002-2005) of CARE Bangladesh, efforts are made to investigate the state of implementation of CBAs involving a few NGOs operating in the SWR of the country. This report presents the perception-based assessments of currently practiced CBA modalities promoted by NGOs in the SWR.

Current CBA activities in several sub-districts in Satkhira, Khulna and Bagerhat districts have been analyzed by using selected (and agreed upon) criteria for understanding the effectiveness of a CBA modality through the perception of primary stakeholders (the beneficiaries including women), the promoters and national/regional level experts. Questionnaire surveys, field observations, KIIIs and FGD, and workshops were used/convened to capture stakeholders’ perception regarding the effectiveness of CBAs. Only the CBAs that are prioritized have been identified and brought under this analysis. In absence of specific indicators of effectiveness, aspects of effectiveness such as (a) technical feasibility, (b) financial viability, (c) social acceptability, and (d) gender-sensitivity have been used, so that the field-respondents can perceive such indicators and reflect upon their efficacy in their own contexts of vulnerability.

The results of the analysis have been presented by the following CBA categories:

- Resilient livelihoods development (considering on-farm crop production, on-farm non-crop production and off-farm activities);
- Resilient community well-being, disaster risk reduction and health care;
- Women’s self-reliance;
- Youth development;
- Self-learning including peer-to-peer sharing;
- Policy advocacy; and
- Engagement in establishing good governance

The top-most on-farm crop production related CBAs include (a) household vegetable gardening (including sac-bag/tower vegetable production and dike vegetable production), (b) extension of saline tolerant paddy variety, (c) integrated cropping (paddy-cum-vegetable along with non-crop production systems), and (d) high value crop production to exploit local market demand (response to choice and timing).
And the prioritized on-farm non-crop based CBAs include (a) Small-scale fisheries in _ghers_ ponds, (b) advanced methods of goat (and sheep) rearing, (c) poultry production, (d) crab fattening, (e) livestock/dairy management, and (f) fruit orchard management.

In both the crop-based and non-crop production systems, farmers/producers emphasize on land/homestead-based production as well as products with relatively higher market price per unit of production. As a consequence, production of _Chui-jhal_, _Jujube_, _Sajna_, mango and _malta_ (i.e., citrus sweet orange) is becoming increasingly popular even in highly saline prone areas.

It is found that a skillful person may acquire more adaptive capacity than a non-skilled vulnerable person (within the same contexts of vulnerability to climate change), which is why vocational skills development is becoming more effective CBA modality than other modalities involving non-farm activities. Among a large pool of vocational trainings, training on _karchupi/_embroidery, computer operation, and mobile/cell-phone repairing are more preferred than others by the training recipients. Youth are increasingly becoming interested in such vocational skill enhancement, while a few vocational trainings involving simple techniques (such as tailoring) and requiring little start-up investments are more popular among women. Women are found to prefer to start a small grocery shop, which requires little technical inputs and ensures sustained financial returns, without having to disturb social norms and cohesion.

NGOs do not tend to invest much on resilient community well-being, disaster risk reduction and healthcare as CBA modalities, compared to livelihoods development activities. Only a small number of NGOs could manage to invest in (a) drainage capacity improvement by excavating/re-excavating _khals_, (b) arranging healthcare camps, and (c) raising awareness on the use of early warning systems.

CBAs targeted at enhancing women’s self-reliance are also prioritized. The CBA modalities with high priority for women’s self-reliance include (a) supporting livelihoods skills (including arrangement for initial start-up capital and linkages with micro-credit institutions and value chains, (b) training on income generating activities (IGA), and (c) capacity building on agricultural adaptation including varietal choice, management of crop-specific agronomic practices and inputs, etc. Most of the IGA options are, however, related to CBAs dealing with livelihoods development. Women no longer intend to be recognized as mere victims of climate change, rather they
desire to acquire skills and become change-agents towards resilience building.

Most of the youth development CBA programmes deal with vocational training and IGA support. Only a few NGOs have targeted programmes on youth where awareness raising methods and the use of early warning systems are discussed in groups, in addition to vocational training and IGA support.

The NGOs which promote a wide range of CBA modalities involving on-farm production systems (crop and non-crop) also promote farmer’s field schools in collaboration with formal extension services institutions such as the Department of Agricultural Extension, Department of Livestock and Department of Fisheries. Vaccination programmes for live animals and birds are also arranged as part of the effective pool of CBA modalities.

Almost all the NGOs involved in CBA in the SWR understand the value of reforming existing policies in favour of the poor and the most vulnerable population groups, including women and people with disabilities. However, only a few has policy advocacy programme in order to push for a policy shift. Local level collective bargaining on access to certain livelihood resources is relatively more common than an organized policy advocacy at the regional and national level.

Similar to those CBAs involving policy advocacy, NGOs are less active in promoting greater engagement of stakeholders in establishing good governance. The short-lived project cycle does not allow NGOs to maintain a human resource pool to mediate at different tiers of governance process, which is found to be a limiting factor for not succeeding in such type of CBAs.

Recognizing that CBAs are generally context specific, many of the prioritized CBAs in the SWR are replicable in different areas - with or without linking with climate change. The ‘development co-benefits’ embedded in a few CBAs enable them to be tested elsewhere.
1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Climate change is considered by international communities as the most difficult challenge faced by humankind. Bangladesh is regarded internationally as one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change. It is generally feared that the multi-faceted implications of climate change will affect the poorest the most, threaten food and water security of millions of people, tend to dampen economic growth and destabilize social harmony in Bangladesh.

A host of literature suggests that, the southwestern region (SWR) appears to be the worst affected region due to its complex hydro-geophysical realities and the socioeconomic context of people living there. The region already exhibited early signs of failed livelihoods and forced out-migration of climate change victims. These impacts are generally attributed to climate variability and change induced extreme events. However, the region has already become the ‘living laboratory’ for experimenting small-scale climate change adaptation measures that are particularly suitable for communities living in the region. Many such climate change adaptation (CCA) modalities are targeted at enhancing livelihoods and adaptive capacities of communities and are generally known as ‘Community Based Adaptations’ (CBA).

CARE Bangladesh has been the pioneering organization to promote various approaches to CBAs since 2002. It’s ‘Reducing Vulnerability to Climate Change’ (RVCC) has been the first ever adaptation project at the grassroots, from which the term ‘CBA’ eventually has emerged. Since the days of RVCC, the partner NGOs of RVCC have been continuing to innovate and creating good CBA examples for wider replications. Many non-government organizations (NGO) are trying to emulate good practices to promote CBAs, particularly in the southwestern region (SWR) of the country. The SWR has therefore become a hot-spot for giving trials of CBAs within Bangladesh.

The Government of Bangladesh (GOB) has shown its commitment to address climate change – largely through institutional adaptation as well as choosing low carbon development model. The majority of the climate financing so far has been spent through the involvement of a few ministries and departments – all representing GOB institutions. Despite the early successes of CBA activities, there has not been any significant effort to understand apparent successes of those CBA practices and replicate those with GOB climate financing.
Despite the abovementioned efforts to promote CBAs in the SWR, no systematic efforts has been made to understand which specific CBA modalities have been providing the best context-specific solutions, especially in the backdrop of overall aspiration of the climate change affected communities. In a bid to learn from past CBA experiences and to integrate such good CBA practices in future programming, CARE Bangladesh has intended to identify, prioritize and evaluate the effective and scalable adaptation options/practices in the areas of food security/sovereignty and agriculture, access to water and sustainable livelihoods in South-west Bangladesh. To this end, CARE Bangladesh has recently decided to carry out a study to evaluate a few selected best CBA practices which are being tested in the SWR of Bangladesh. However, such an initiative is never complete without taking note of best CBA practices which have already been promoted by NGOs in the SWR.

This paper is based on a field based research on CBA practices carried out by NGOs in the SWR. The research methodology, presented below, draws inferences based on perceptions of field-based actors who have been promoting CBAs in the salinity-prone and occasionally cyclone ravaged SWR and also the farmers/producers, who try out many different modalities to safeguard their livelihoods against common climate-induced hazards in the same region. In addition, the perception-based research highlights the priority of CBA practices, as such priorities are perceived by the both the groups mentioned above.

1. In general, the SWR is by and large affected by sub-surface and top soil salinity. The surface water in the creeks/rivulets and rivers are also salinity, owing to tidal hydrology of the region. However, salinity varies across geographic sub-regions. There exists a salinity gradient towards South and West: it is always more saline from North to South and also the same from East to West (Asaduzzaman et al., 2016; Ahmed, 2008).
Figure-1: Map of the study area representing the south-western region (SWR) of Bangladesh
The evaluation of each practice is, as mentioned above, perception-based. It is because, neither the NGOs nor the recipient of CBA services (i.e., the farmers/producers) maintain all the data (physical, social and economic) which might enable a complete analysis of inputs and out-puts, before and after the application of each CBA modality under a given vulnerability context. The timeframe of the study does not support any longitudinal analysis of potentially a large number of variables that are required to undertake a complete analysis.

Therefore, the study tends to evaluate the “effectiveness” of any identified/prioritized CBA modality by taking into consideration of a number of aspects, understanding on each of which are needed to make a partial sense of the effectiveness of the modality. In absence of specific indicators of effectiveness, aspects of effectiveness such as (a) technical feasibility, (b) financial viability, (c) social acceptability, and (d) gender-sensitivity have been discussed with the representatives of CARE Bangladesh, agreed upon and used, so that the field-respondents can perceive such indicators and reflect upon their efficacy in their own contexts of vulnerability. The criteria for using the four aspects of effectiveness in the field assessment are:

1. In the context of climate change, if a CBA modality is robust enough to withstand climatic perturbation (say, higher surface temperature, higher rainfall variability, hazards that are associated with climate variability and change, etc.) and yet produce desired results, it is regarded as technically feasible and hence considered as “effective” in terms of technical issues. If a CBA is technically less challenging to handle by a farmer/producer/recipient of CBA modality and they can easily tweak as necessary during the course of the application of the technique/technology (without having to consult ‘external actors/specialists’ frequently, it is ‘technically effective’. CBAs that require lesser technical abilities to manage are considered as ‘technically effective’.

2. If a CBA either is financially win-win (always brings financial gains at the expense of time, effort and cash) or does not require a heavy capital (in terms of economy of the concerned HH), then it is regarded as “financially effective”.

3. No effort has been made to test many pertinent issues related to a large pool of CBAs. For example, soil qualities, before and after certain agricultural intervention could not be accommodated, because the perception-based responses did not reflect upon such issues.

4. Long-term environmental issues, therefore, could not be included in the assessment.

Such criteria are proxy to indicator(s) which might have highlighted effectiveness. These were discussed and agreed upon with CARE Bangladesh before being applied in the field investigations.
Upfront capital investments, during implementation input costs, operation and maintenance (O&M) costs are part of the consideration for assessment of ‘financial effectiveness’ of a CBA modality. A technically effective CBA may or may not be simultaneously financially effective.

- If a CBA causes problems for women to handle it on a day-to-day basis, or create differential access for women (in a given social context) to the perceived benefits, then it is NOT effective in terms of gender-sensitivity. In contrast, a gender-friendly CBA should not create problem for women to manage/handle on routine basis (without frequent assistance from male counterparts in their respective operations) and/or which offer easy access for women to perceived benefits (material, cash and/or services) can be identified as ‘effective’ CBAs in terms of gender orientation. CBAs which do not inflict upon any stress on female-male relationships are also ‘effective’ in terms of gender sensitivity.

- If a CBA disrupts social cohesion, creates division among members of a community, etc, then it is NOT effective socially (even though the same may be highly effective in terms of technical aspects and economic feasibility).

Each of the aspects highlights an element of “effectiveness”, however individually cannot holistically represent the same. However, if an identified and prioritized CBA modality is found to be effective in all four aspects, generally that one is considered to be a “good practice”. The above provides for rationale for identifying the CBA practices that are perceived to be ‘good’ and recommended for wider replication by interested actors.

The generic approach to the task involved the collection of both secondary/published information as well as primary information from major stakeholders regarding currently practiced CBA activities, with particular reference to the southwestern region (SWR) of the country.
The following methodology was applied:

2.1 Collection and review of secondary information

An effort was made to collect secondary information on CBA, with particular reference to Satkhira, Khulna and Bagerhat districts. The Figure-1 shows the area including the three districts. Initially, a regional workshop was organized (organized by CARE Bangladesh) in Khulna in order to facilitate a forum of major CBA actors representing various institutions who had played key roles in advancing and understanding CBA activities in the SWR. The workshop helped the identification and short-listing of key organizations, among a host of non-governmental organizations (NGO) that are involved in advancing and understanding CBA in the SWR.

Once the above was done, the collection of secondary literature (published as well as grey literature, documents and reports) was arranged, which formed the base for the secondary source of information. With the help of the field-level enumerators - mobilized by CARE Bangladesh (as agreed), necessary steps were taken for the collection of secondary information and data. Checklists were developed for the collection of information (institution-based) on CBA activities. Moreover, checklists were also prepared for conducting Key Informants’ Interviews (KII), which were conducted by the same enumerators who were mobilized by CARE Bangladesh. In order for the field enumerators to understand the tasks, a day-long training was arranged for the enumerators following the Initial consultative workshop.

The representatives from each of the above mentioned institutions/organizations, including CARE Bangladesh and its partners working in the SWR, were contacted for key informants’ interviews (KII). A formal set of questions have been asked to each of them for the KII. Such questions segregated CBAs involving household and/or individual level delivery (for example, activities to address household food insecurity, to increase production even under salinity, to increase income by means of acquiring and utilizing certain skills, etc.) and those CBAs which target activities for an entire community (for example, activities to address drainage congestion, to increase availability of non-saline drinking water, etc.).

The questionnaire which has been designed for the KII focused on activities that are technically feasible, economically viable and easy to be replicated by others (i.e., ‘ease of replication’, especially after observing their effectiveness). A few questions are asked regarding barriers (technical, financial, and
social) and hindrances faced by each of the best performing CBAs. For CBAs targeting at activities for an entire community, the key informants are asked to reflect on their perception regarding level of capital investment intensity, degree of technical difficulties faced while implementing the modality, the degree of difficulties faced in terms of Operation and Maintenance (O&M) and O&M cost recovery for each modality, the difficulties involving post-management of each technology, and whether or not land availability and/or participation of local government could be a limiting factor.

The questionnaire also included queries regarding modalities of delivering awareness-related messages to understand the best media to be chosen for enhancing awareness of target communities.

A few national experts, having adequate understanding regarding CBA in the SWR, were also contacted for conducting KII5. The outcomes of the KIIs formed the basis to double check the evidence base for the analysis of effectiveness of the CBAs.

Once the documents were received from CARE Bangladesh (through the involvement of the enumerators), the information on CBA were collated and reviewed, primarily to

5. A list of Experts engaged/contacted in the KII is placed in Annex-1.
understand the context-specific effectiveness of each individual CBA activity and also to identify the primary recipient stakeholders, whose views must be considered to better understand the implications of such CBA activities.

2.2 Primary Stakeholders’ Interview

In order to triangulate the information base and the subsequent analysis of CBA effectiveness, an effort was made to visit actual places where direct beneficiaries have been practicing CBA activities under the guidance of various non-government organizations. Such field-level consultations, again facilitated by indicative check-lists, were supplemented by conducting field visits to a few key/representative field areas within the three SWR districts to further consult with the local people who had participated in such CBA interventions and/or had been practicing such CBA activities since their introduction. This step helped to triangulate the information collected and, thus provided a firm basis towards understanding social acceptance and gender sensitivity of each of the major CBA activities.

2.3 Final Analysis

In the last step, the good CBA practices were further reviewed within the broad framework of a holistic/ integrated CBA framework\(^6\) to understand how such CBA practices could be replicated by various actors and what additional steps should be taken up by various actors including CARE Bangladesh, in order to ensure sustainable livelihoods for the climate change-affected communities.

---

6. A commonly used CBA Framework with examples/indicators is placed in Annex-2.
A project titled “Reducing Vulnerability to Climate Change (RVCC)” was designed and implemented from 2002 to 2005. The RVCC project was designed with an overarching goal to reduce vulnerability of Bangladesh to (adverse impacts of) climate change and to increase capacity of the communities to adapt to adverse effects of climate change.

The RVCC project was implemented in six districts of the South-western Region (SWR) by CARE Bangladesh. The Districts included Satkhira, Khulna, Bagerhat, Narail, Jessore and Gopalganj. The project envisaged that, vulnerability could be reduced by means of the promotion of sustainable development and the building of local-level (i.e., micro) capacity to adapt to the changes induced by climate change (CARE, 2003).

The RVCC project envisaged that it would have the following broad-based impacts:

- Vulnerable Bangladeshi communities understand and are better able to respond to the adverse climate change effects.
- Local organizations such as NGOs and CBOs are better able to understand and explain climate change and have necessary skills and knowledge to advocate on climate change.
- Agencies of local and national government are sensitized to the need for strategic interventions to enhance adaptation to climate change for vulnerable communities.

The purpose of the project was to exhibit that, communities and institutions in the SWR would demonstrate capacity to (a) raise awareness on climate change, (b) reduce climate change-induced vulnerabilities, and (c) influence relevant policy towards enhancing adaptive capacity of the SWR.

7. With support of the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) through the Canada Climate Change Development Fund (CARE, 2002).
The project chose six SWR Districts, which otherwise had been known as the most vulnerable districts of a country known to be among the most vulnerable on earth to climate change (Huq et al., 1996). The Project considered four distinct approaches to deliver four different outcomes, which are explained below (CARE, 2002).

**RVCC Approach-1:**
Vulnerable households and their representatives would be helped towards improvement of their capacities to innovate in their livelihood strategies so that they can reduce vulnerabilities to climate change.

1. Increase food production through agriculture;
2. Increase income through alternative livelihoods;
3. Increase availability of food;
4. Improve health conditions and personal safety;
5. Increase access to safe water; and
6. Improve safety of housing.

RVCC was designed to promote a host of adaptation measures within these strategies. An indicative list of adaptation modalities are listed in Annex-3. These adaptation measures were selected for implementation based on the needs of grassroots people vulnerable to current climate-related complexities.

**RVCC Approach-2:**
Communities would be assisted by enhancing their capacity to conceptualize, plan and implement community-level adaptation strategies.

Two community-level adaptation strategies, and corresponding measures, were identified that would complement the work done at the household level. The community-level strategies intended to:

i. Increase access to common property resources; and
ii. Reduce threats through community-based initiatives.
Access to common property was identified as a need for landless beneficiaries to implement measures under agriculture and livelihoods strategies. Activities under this strategy supported local-level advocacy to ensure stakeholders’ rightful access to these resources.

For reducing threats at the community level, the approach was to work with elected Chairmen of Union Parishads (UPs), its members and community leaders. It worked to build their capacity to plan and implement community-level measures that would reduce threats from the vulnerability contexts of flood, salinity, cyclone and water-logging. An indicative list of adaptation modalities under RVCC Approach-2 is provided in Annex-4.

RVCC Approach-3:
Local groups would be empowered and their capacities would be enhanced so that they would be able to collect and disseminate information related to climate change, and would begin advocacy with the government of Bangladesh (GOB) on climate change issues.

The majority of activities under this Approach-3 focused on increasing awareness on current climate-related vulnerabilities, their linkages with the livelihoods of grassroots people in the southwest region Bangladesh, potential adverse impacts of climate change, and potential coping options available. The project was undertaking a number of activities to raise awareness including grassroots cultural activities, and working with local Eco-clubs for sensitization of mass people. It also worked to disseminate information to the literate population through newsletters and other publications, dissemination through folk media and radio, and developed a school program on climate change, among others. Again, the primary focus was on capacity building at the local levels.

RVCC Approach-4:
Project would promote partnerships with agencies and groups in a bid to interact regularly with national level climate change stakeholders on policy advocacy issues.

A number of activities were considered under RVCC Approach-4, which include the following: contributing to the national level understanding on the needs for adaptation; carrying out an analysis of national policies to find out its relevance to the adaptation to climate change; contributing to national capacity in terms of the formulation of the country’s National Adaptation Programme for Action (NAPA); and encouraging national stakeholders to provide feedback on various activities under the project.
CURRENT MAJOR ORIENTATION OF CBA PRACTICES IN THE SWR

The current CBA practices in the SWR are not different from those developed in the very first attempt to design the CBAs under RVCC Project. Most of the CBAs have primary focus on improving productivity, defying salinity and water logging, and thereby attaining household food security as much as possible. Interestingly, many new innovative production-oriented modalities have been introduced.

Farmers are also found to be more willing to take part in such live experiments involving their time, resources (i.e., land and other resources), and efforts. The focus has shifted from paddy based production system to non-paddy crops, while the diversification into poultry, livestock, and fisheries production has continued and got strengthened.

The next orientation of CBA practices in the SWR is around non-farm production, which aims at improving household income streams from activities that are primarily unaffected by the climate change induced hazards. Firstly, the market response to such products has to be high with assurance of profit-making. Secondly, the production system must not be interfered by hazards induced by...
climate variability and change. Such income earning opportunities ensure sustained income for participating households, defying hazards that are climate induced and adversely affect usual livelihoods. This is how, at least, the targeted households gradually enhance their economic resilience.

Quite a few evidences are found where the target of CBA practices offers greater resilience for a community as a whole, is not limited to a few targeted households within the community. The most notable ones deal with the attempt to supply safe drinking water. Various technologies have been tried extensively in response to the extensive prevalence of the salinity in drinking water throughout the SWR. Experimentation on the effectiveness of various delivery mechanisms involving a costly but assured technology to supply non-saline drinking water has continued. Community efforts like access roads construction, drainage improvement, creation of green belts etc. are seen, although these are sporadic and not widely practiced.

It is heartening to observe that, general gender sensitivity in the choices of CBA modalities has been increased throughout the SWR. Orientation of CBAs towards benefitting women as primary objective is dominant. However, there are a few cases where youth groups, persons with disability etc. are given greater emphasis as recipients of support for resilience building.

The other dominant trend is to extend production systems - both on farm and off farm production, to establish market linkage. Production of products - even agricultural products (crop, livestock, fisheries and poultry included), are no longer intended just for household consumption. Conscious and deliberate efforts are made to link sustained production systems with market forces, which in effect have been contributing towards gradual commercialization of the production systems in the SWR. Even the smallholders’ and women’s productions are brought under value chains involving crop agriculture and horticulture, fisheries, and dairy.
5. THE BEST PERFORMING ON-FARM CROP PRODUCTION ORIENTED CBAs

It is beyond doubt that most of the NGOs delivering/facilitating CBAs in the SWR have been concentrating on addressing food security of target households, particularly by increasing farm-level crop production. This was the trend during the times of RVCC (Roy et al., 2010a), which appears to be continuing throughout SWR.

An enhancement of own production - defying a host of climate change related issues, can effectively reduce household food insecurity (Neelormi et al., 2010). Therefore, the NGOs are mostly engaged in CBA modalities with a primary objective to increase on-farm food production. However, some of the products have commercial importance, which go beyond subsistence and enable farmers to earn cash.

5.1 Technical feasibility

The analyses clearly suggest that, the top three ‘technically feasible’ CBA modalities are: (a) household vegetable gardening, (b) saline tolerant paddy production, and (c) integrated cropping (mostly vegetables). Some other less important, but preferred on-farm crop related CBAs include: (a) dike cropping (vegetables grown on the slopes of the dikes), (b) production of various cereals like maize, burly, and wheat, (c) seasonal cash crops such as sunflower, mung bean, sesame, turmeric, and (d) field-based seasonal fruit like watermelon (Ahmed et al., 2014; Roy et al., 2009; FAO 2008; Thomas et al., 2012).

In fact the technically feasible and successfully adapted crops are the most common vegetables grown everywhere. Farming households are generally aware of such vegetables and the technical know-how is millennia old. However, NGO extension service offers little training to find low cost alternative methods to avoid salinity which is a major problem severely limiting vegetables production. Such vegetables include cucumber, brinjal, snake gourd, water gourd (i.e, lau), bitter gourd, sweet pumpkin, tomato, cauliflower, cabbage, carrot, spinach (palong shak, pui shak, etc.), red amaranth, etc. Along with vegetables, NGOs also promote chillis which require little water that reduce the problem of salinity. The NGOs introduce advanced varieties of seeds to the farmers; train them on optimal levels of inputs and plant spacing, integrated pest management techniques, etc so that the farmers can benefit from advanced information and knowledge on vegetable farming. Subject to the availability of land
(and/or dike), farmers generally produce more than their household demand, to get them some extra cash.

The intensity of salinity increases during spring and summer days (from late-March till the harvest in May). Therefore, the Boro paddy varieties suffer the most in the SWR. In fact, farmers from mild saline areas generally cultivate the crops tolerant to mild salinity, while in areas with high salinity the farmers often do not grow anything during that cropping season. Therefore, the fields are generally left fallow after the Aman harvest leaving no benefits to the farmers from their land (FAO, 2008; Thomas et al., 2012).

In such a backdrop, an extension of saline tolerant variety of paddy brings greater harvesting opportunity despite the seasonal built up of salinity profile. The successful harvest instantaneously gives household food security, while the extra harvest also offers cash. The most technically feasible variety is ‘BINA-8’. In areas where the standing Aman crop also faces salinity, a varietal shift becomes important to avail the Aman cropping season. In such cases, ‘BRRI-Dhan 50’ and ‘BRRI-Dhan-38’ are not only technically feasible, but also high yielding with other beneficial properties. Most of the above mentioned varieties, promoted by the NGOs, generally yield in the range of 17 to 24 maunds per bigha (equivalent to 1.7 to 2.33 mt/ha).

A few NGOs have been promoting integrated farming. Essentially, it has been a combination of vegetables production as well as saline tolerant paddy production. It is done by carefully choosing varieties in every cropping season and supplemented by advanced agronomic practices such as selection of fertilizer dosages (based on land type, crop type and so on), application of supplementary organic fertilizers (compost, vermi-compost, etc.) and integrated pest management (IPM), etc.

8. In comparison with yields of popular Boro and Aman varieties, yields in non-saline lands appear 4.2 to 3.7 tons/hectare, respectively. While high salinity significantly reduces the yield in the fields of target beneficiaries, the lower yield is still regarded by them as a boon compared to complete loss of crop.
In the salinity-prone zones, a significant proportion of the lands are used as shrimp enclosures called *gher*. The *ghers* are generally separated by earthen mounds having inner and outer slopes. These slopes are gainfully utilized by farmers to produce vegetables. Since the dikes are located next to water bodies, the root zone of vegetables can be easily irrigated even when salinity builds up on plain lands. This is how the farmers safeguard their vegetable plants and gain from the production of vegetables. On technical grounds, dike cropping is simple and can be practiced by landless farmers, although they require having formal permission from respective land owners.

Areas where salinity is less prominent, some cereal crops such as maize, burley and wheat have been given successful trials. Since these cereals are not staple food suppliers, these are generally grown as commercial crops. These crops were not put into practice during RVCC. While some people here might consume wheat flour, the other two crops are

---

9. Many land owners use their lands as shrimp *ghers* and do not care whether the land separators/dikes are used for vegetable production. The owners often live far away and give permission to use the dikes of their *ghers* without charging any amount.
produced completely for commercial purpose. Maize has high demand for the production of livestock and fish feed.

Seasonal cash crops have high market demand with some potential for consumption within the producing households. These are the crops that were not put under trial in RVCC. Since the soil salinity peaked in the early 1990s, farmers from the SWR have not been cultivating such crops due to perceived crop loss in heavy saline condition during Rabi season. Now the NGOs have persuaded farmers to try out varieties of oilseeds and lentils released by BARI. Despite salinity, even in Debhata and Kaliganj Upazilas of Satkhira where the soil salinity profile is much higher than elsewhere in the SWR during Rabi season, farmers harvested good yields. Oilseeds such as sesame and sunflower and lentils like mung have been found viable under the prevailing soil salinity in the SWR.

It is observed from field trials that the salinity profile in the northern Satkhira and Khulna during the Rabi season does not limit turmeric cultivation. Therefore, at least one NGO has promoted turmeric as a cash crop which becomes a spice – an essential ingredient in Bengali cuisine after processing.

Relatively larger scale production of watermelon in the fields has not been given trial in the SWR during RVCC project implementation. Farmers themselves have started field trials in the sandy soils in early 2000s. NGOs have been promoting cultivation of watermelon following the early success of farmers. It is found that the prevailing salinity does not pose a technical limit to watermelon cultivation.

5.2 Financial viability

Vegetables grown in the SWR are short lived. Therefore, a number of vegetables can be grown in sequence in any given field. The opportunity for multiple harvest is the key for financial success of growing vegetables. Since the production of vegetables requires little inputs, and the technical requirements are minimum, the apparent cost of production...
Community-Based Adaptation per unit land appears to be low. Therefore, vegetables offer a perfect ‘low-input low-output’ CBA option for farmers having access to land.

Since most of the vegetables are seasonal, the farm gate price of the same product varies significantly over time. At the beginning of the season, the farm gate price is generally higher than the price towards the end of the season. Sometimes the end-of-the-season farm gate price from the middlemen is so low that the producers do not find economic incentive towards harvesting their produce, especially if the field is some distance away from their home! Tomato, cabbage and beans are among those vegetables which suffer significant price differential between the beginning of the season and end of the season. The cost of vegetable production however increases significantly if the access to a land needs to be leased (locally called haari).

The quick rotation of vegetable seasons enabled by varietal modifications through relentless research by BARI, gives farmers adequate opportunity to pay for rent at the beginning of a given season and still allow making profit at the end of the rental period. This has given confidence to farmers to initiate commercial vegetable farming even in areas which are moderately saline. Among on-farm crop based CBAs, vegetable cultivation appears to be the most viable adaptation modality in financial terms.

However, the extreme poor households still prefer vegetable cultivation, although the scale is significantly different. In homestead vegetable cultivation systems, commercial farming is not generally possible due to inadequate land around homesteads. The homesteads where small-scale vegetables are grown - often for subsistence only, NGOS promote a number of options to reduce the adverse impact of capillary action of salinity ingress.

In the RVCC project, hanging vegetable cultivation and tower vegetable cultivation...
have been promoted in saline prone areas (Roy et al., 2010b). Not only those methods are still promoted, an innovation came along where an earthen stack pile is created inside a sack, the sack is deliberately perforated to allow the vegetable stems to come out, and then to expand its branches with bamboo support. Because of the stack pile, the salinity cannot increase much due to the lack of evaporation and subsequent slow rise in capillary action, which eventually keeps the root zone of the vegetable plant out of danger from reverse osmosis. Consequently, the plant survives and the production of the vegetable is ensured.

Not only the technology is simple and requires little technical know-how, the cost of putting a sack and a bamboo-made platform are perceived to be low. In saline prone region, such a simple technology that assists vegetable cultivation is economically viable and highly popular. Since a farmer usually does not have to pay any rent for using slopes of a *gher*, dike cropping is also financially viable and quite popular.

Saline tolerant paddy is also found to be financially viable. However, the apparent financial return from paddy is relatively less compared to field-based or homestead vegetables. Paddy is both labour and input intensive. While the former forces the male producer to work in field and relinquish any opportunity to sell labour elsewhere, the latter directly requires cash. Moreover, the change in paddy yield from moderate to high salinity (especially during Rabi growing period) is quite high, which results in the reduction of average yield significantly. As a consequence, farmers find saline tolerant paddy less favourable compared to vegetables in economic terms.

In cases of integrated farming as a CBA option, financial considerations are perceived by farmers less important compared to the gains from food security. Moreover, the producers find the system useful because it allows year-round turnovers in small amounts, which is seen as a beneficial modality for the household. Since many of the poor households have micro-credit
loans, such frequent but small returns from a variety of activities and products allow them to pay off their micro-credit installments.

One of the important features of current CBAs is that, there is a general tendency to go beyond subsistence, which is why market-oriented cereals, oilseeds, lentils, and commercial vegetables and fruits are grown. Moreover, there is also a distinct tendency to go for high value crops. The farmers generally keep an eye on demand in local market(s) for certain vegetables/fruits and make their choices accordingly. The greater is the anticipated value, the higher is the tendency to grow such products.

5.3 Social responses to on-farm crops

Crop agriculture is the most known and acceptable form of employment in rural Bangladesh, even when the relative contribution of the broad agriculture sector including forestry, fisheries and livestock to gross domestic product of the country has come down to a mere 15.35 per cent in 2015-16 (MOF, 2016). Because of the high social acceptance and direct contribution to the household’s food security, crop production related CBAs are widely accepted among the beneficiaries throughout the SWR. Moreover, majority of the CBA beneficiaries belong to farming communities.

Among various CBA choices involving on farm crop production, paddy cultivation appears to be the most socially acceptable modality. However, due to varied levels of salinity (that limits production) and increasing input costs, such socially acceptable CBA option has not been replicated through autonomous adaptation. The situation has been compounded by potential risk of saline inundation during monsoon, leading to water-logging and lack of non-saline irrigation water in the Rabi growing period.

Vegetable production is the next most desirable modality of adaptation among all types of on farm crop agriculture. Thanks to continuous media interventions and emphasis placed in the school curriculum on the benefits of vegetable consumption, the overall market demand has escalated throughout the country. The farmers who grow vegetables in their tiny courtyards/homesteads, they can at least have it...
along with rice. The excess production is generally shared with neighbours, and sold to middlemen at the farm gate. There is no social hindrance attached to vegetable gardening at the courtyard or backyard.

Those who have access to crop lands for cultivation, they grow vegetables for commercial reasons. While most of the produce goes to the market, thanks to the massive improvement of rural road network; while a small portion is also consumed at household level. Since the promoted varieties of vegetables are technically feasible, economically viable and easy to replicate, neighbours come forward to learn from NGO beneficiaries and replicate on their own. Sometimes they consult with Sub-assistant Agriculture Officers deployed in their neighbourhood for further technical support, or a champion vegetable grower in their neighbourhood to understand the dynamics of such production better. The champion growers believe that, their interests will not be harmed if they teach their neighbours how to grow more vegetables. They have an assessment that the demand for such products is actually increasing faster than overall increase in production. They believe that this situation will continue along with the growth in urbanization. The growth in market demand is supported by available literature (Asaduzzaman et al., 2016).

Like vegetables, production of crops such as watermelon, *chui-jhal*, *mete* potatoes etc. has been fully socially acceptable (Uttaran and Misereor, 2012). All these are market oriented crops, fetch good amounts of cash per unit of land. There is no social and/or cultural barrier that might undermine the marketing potential of such crops. As a consequence, the introduction of such crops has inspired many people to replicate in their respective fields/homesteads.

Non-paddy cereals, spices (such as turmeric) etc. are also becoming popular, since there is no social and cultural hindrance attached to the farming of such crops.

5.4 Gender sensitivity regarding on-farm crop production

Most of the NGOs have targeted women as both producers and primary beneficiaries. Therefore, the capacity building training and input supports have been provided primarily to women. With an exception of paddy production, most of the other CBA modalities related to on-farm crop production are managed by women. Women are found to be happy in continuing with their role in such production system.

Rural Bangladesh is changing. Women are more exposed to the culture, norms, and practices outside villages. The communication
linkages have been established over the years, information on many issues are shared through a variety of media, and children are sharing many things which they learn by attending school\textsuperscript{13}, on which women had no prior information and/or experience. Women of the SWR are no exceptions. They had been facing the vagaries of nature. Their food security has been devastated due to increased salinity, caused by the diversion of freshwater in the upstream at Farakka point by India (Mirza and Sarker, 2005; Gain et al., 2014). Gradually, they have been observing other changes which are somewhat attributable to climate variability, if not change. In order to reconstruct their livelihoods system, they possess a mental frame to accept the challenges and do something meaningful – even if it is ‘out of the box’ in terms of their past experiences and perceptions.

At this juncture, the NGOs came along with participatory analysis of the situation around them and asked whether or not they would take up the challenge to reconstruct their livelihoods. They've shrugged off the apparent social barrier around physical labour in the crop fields and put a rationale saying “... it is better to work in public to grow something or earn, rather than wait in queue for some relief”. With the help of NGO-driven trainings, inputs and exposure visits (if any), the women have started to grow more food, produce non-crop items, take part in marketing such products, thereby earn respect of their respective male counterparts and the people in their immediate neighbourhoods.

Once the social norms around female’s participation in crop production was overcome successfully, the target women beneficiaries of CBA projects did not feel that the available CBA modalities had any gender sensitivity involving on-farm crop production (also in off farm non-crop production). Although women are found confident in dealing with saline tolerant paddy varieties, in reality only a handful of women are actually working in the paddy fields. Women are generally shy in contacting an irrigation provider, finding the right fertilizer dosage and pesticides from the market. They also do not frequently take part in de-weeding the paddy fields. Such activities\textsuperscript{14} are still led by male counterparts. Only the women from women headed households carry out such activities.

With an exception of paddy production, women are fully engaged in homestead as well as on

\textsuperscript{13} In fact, the enrolment of children in primary level has been increased to 98% in Bangladesh, irrespective of gender and ethnic background. Such an achievement has been formidable in South Asian standard.

\textsuperscript{14} Social/cultural acceptance of such activities among women are low, owing to patriarchal social norms and practices.
farm crop production, including dike cropping (Uttaran, North South University and Save the Children, undated). After receiving technical know-how, they participate in harvesting, even in marketing their products. With the improvement in road infrastructure in the rural SWR, marketing of crops has been made easier, the middlemen now come to villages and collect from farm gates.

When asked whether or not the women are getting lower price for the same product, women equivocally report that the days of such exploitation are gone. They always find one or two women among themselves (i.e., within the community/village) who went to school who do the calculation. Therefore, the question of financial exploitation does not arise.

The development of rural markets by the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) and within such markets, the presence of ‘women’s corner’ enable many small-scale women sellers with fresh produce to safely sell their products and get competitive price. After the harvest, they use cell-phone to call an ‘easy bike’/‘Mayuri’, then load products and go to the nearby women’s corner, everything done by themselves. In many cases, their male counterparts support their activities. The additional income and also the newly earned confidence in handling cash have earned them respect from male counterparts. Gender sensitivity for on-farm crops is generally very high, perhaps with an exception of paddy cultivation.
In addition to crops, producers tend to produce many non-crop products – both for own consumption and also for selling in the market. Such products are still produced within farms (i.e., using land with temporary or permanent access, and the land around the homestead), that benefit them with many additional resources.

Such efforts are considered under the RVCC project (Roy et al., 2010b). However, a few innovative modalities have been promoted. Some of the new products – Jujube, Malta, Mangoes – are commercially lucrative. At the same time, modifications in existing approaches to raise known products (like goat and sheep rearing on elevated platforms and sheds) have gained popularity among the producers.

6.1 Technical Feasibility of on-farm non-crop products

Fish production in *ghers* has been continuing throughout the SWR since early 1980s. In the highly saline prone areas, people are taking advantage of salinity and nurturing shrimps in captivity under salinity – quite common practice in the southern Upazilas of all the three districts. However, with the increased freshwater flow in the Gorai river during critical dry periods since the signing of the Ganges Treaty in 1996, the northern reaches of Bagerhat and the eastern part of Khulna districts have experienced a decrease in salinity. As a consequence, a suitable condition for Golda shrimp along with white fin fish culture has emerged – promoted by the NGOs. These technically simple and easily replicable practices – generally known as fish poly-culture – are promoted under RVCC project (Roy et al., 2010b). Fish poly-culture is the most popular form of non-crop CBA on technical grounds.

Crab fattening appears to be the second most preferred on-farm non-crop CBA practice, promoted by the NGOs. This practice was initiated in the saline zones in the SWR during the RVCC days (Roy et al., 2010b). The ease of replication and simple marketing procedure enabled the producers to continue with such a profit earning CBA activity. Despite its continued promotion and autonomous replication, the CBA modality has been facing two significant challenges at the technical level. Because of the continued extension support from NGOs as well as the start up capital needed to initiate the activity in a small pond, many producers have come forward to nurse crab juveniles.
However, the abundance of crab juveniles in the nature has gradually declined in contrast to its demand, which has now become a limiting factor. The field observations and key informants' interviews clearly suggest that, there are not many crab juveniles in the wild to nurse them in captivity and sell after fattening.

The other technical problem is the existing Environment Conservation Act (1995) of Bangladesh (GOB, 1995). The law dictates that no wildlife– including Crab–should be collected/held. Yet crabs are collected indiscriminately for fattening and subsequent export. Although, technically, crab is considered as wildlife, the Government of Bangladesh (GOB) was not quite keen to putting a bar on its export. In reality, the export promotion bureau considers crab as an export earning product. This leaves a dilemma whether or not to continue with this successful CBA effort.

Apparently, there is no straightforward answer to this. In view of its economic potential, the GOB is reluctant to apply the prevailing ban– despite the clear legal provision. The promising sub-sector could gain immensely if the GOB allows the establishment of a hatchery\(^{15}\) (by providing for financial incentives to private sectors). It is understood that, if adequate number of crab hatchlings are made available for crab farming, the need for illegal collection of wild crabs would not arise.

Goat and sheep rearing has also been promoted by NGOs as potential CBA modalities. Again, these are common livelihoods practices in rural Bangladesh (Roy et al., 2009). Both the animals can be fed with almost anything with nutritional value, at the same time can be nurtured under any harsh condition. Surface salinity does not affect much to the growth of these animals. With the availability of livestock officer in the vicinity, people find it technically feasible to manage a few goats and sheep.

\(^{15}\) Just before publication of this paper, the GOB has decided to upgrade its existing hatchery to start producing crab hatchlings. Palli Karma Sangsthan Foundation (PKSF) has also committed to establish a crab hatchery to support CBA activities through its partner organizations.
Moreover, the NGOs in the SWR are promoting small hut with shed for these animals, generally placed above the plinth level to avoid wet living condition. In addition, they practice improved rearing techniques to ensure higher production and lower mortality rates. The technical innovation involving simple, low-cost hut placed above the plinth level has reduced the possibility of sickness and death of both the animals, making their production highly viable under saline conditions. Technical challenges are largely overcome with the advices of locally stationed livestock officer and continued supervision by NGO staff.

The fourth most popular on-farm non-crop production is poultry rearing. Rural people are doing so since long. The technical difficulties in managing a small farm are very low. The only potential trouble with poultry rearing is the management of diseases. In recent years, there has been a gradual increase in the availability of trained people who can give vaccination services, which has significantly reduced mortality rate of poultry. Recent market demand for indigenous chicken and duck has made the practice an attractive CBA proposition for the poor households. A few NGOs have been promoting other birds such as quail and pigeon. These are reared with minimal technical know-how, which is why people are attracted more and more to these practices.

The fifth important non-crop adaptation modality is livestock rearing. The RVCC project took advantage of the local know-how of this age old production system (Roy et al., 2010). Thanks to the recent price hike of beef, the producers are happy to start such practices with advanced technical knowhow on cattle rearing. The concerned NGOs have taught producers to arrange for fresh grass, cultivate very high yielding grasses like ‘Napier’ and ‘Jamboo’, use supplemental feed for cattle, produce a mixture of straw and molasses to further supplement diet for quick fattening of cattle. Despite these are quite demanding on technical grounds, the producers still have forced themselves to learn the techniques and came out victorious. The technical challenges are
indeed overcome by a significant number of beneficiaries across the SWR.

In addition to beef fattening, milking cows have been brought under improved management practices in a bid to increase productivity. Although the extent of such activities is nowhere near the level reached in a few northern districts, however a positive change is seen following the RVCC project (Roy et al., 2010b). One NGO has now initiated a group-based dairy farming involving as many as 17,000 milk producers. Since grasses like Napier and Jamboo can withstand certain levels of salinity, these are suitable for the prevailing soil conditions in the SWR. Moreover, owing to their respective high productivity round the year with minimal technical care, the coverage and production of such grasses have increased significantly in last five years. With increased assurance of availability of green fodder, the technical feasibility of cattle rearing is likely to be continued and expanded throughout the SWR. Livestock rearing also include pig rearing. It is also technically feasible. However, there are social and cultural barriers, which dominate the decision-making against such practices.

In addition to the above mentioned non-crop CBA modalities, there is an emerging tendency to establish orchard, primarily in the homestead and in the adjacent land. Mango, jujube, guava and citrus fruits are grown in orchards with a commercial intent. Even in saline conditions, people are planting fruit trees. Non-fruit trees are also planted. Sajna, for example, is a seasonal vegetable grown in trees. In southern Satkhira, Sajna cultivation is heavily promoted by NGOs. All these fruit and non-fruit bearing trees can be nurtured with minimal training, which is why local farmers have shown keen interest in such orchards.

Under RVCC Project, a local reed called mele – a raw material for mat – was promoted for cultivation (Roy et al., 2010b). One or two NGOS is promoting Mele production, however such CBA practice didn’t gain much popularity. In technically, Mele is easy to grow in non-saline conditions, along the creeks/khals. No special nurturing is needed.
for mele during their growth. Yet, the simple production system has not been replicated by producers under autonomous adaptation.

6.2 Financial viability of on-farm non-crop products

Field observations suggest that, by far the best financial returns generally come from Crab fattening. The investment level is low. The crabs may be fed with mollusk, shell and commercially less attractive small fish – all sourced from neighbouring wetlands at no extra cost (other than physical labour). A closer look suggests that, there are established marketing channels functioning under autonomous development in saline prone areas. For Satkhira, a major marketing hub has been established by the middle men. Live crabs are sold based on their size and the producers generally get good price for live crabs. Since crabs are exported, the producers are in general happy with the price they receive.

In financial terms, duck rearing and the production of local varieties of chicken are quite successful. Since the desi poultry are more resilient to ambient environmental condition than the broiler chicken\textsuperscript{16}, their mortality rates are much lower, which compensates for its lower productivity. Moreover, the producers do not require to feed these desi birds since these are scavengers, thus the cost of production is much less. Moreover, the market places a higher preference on desi varieties of chicken, which is why their average price is much higher compared to farm-fed broiler variety. All these factors contribute to the relatively higher economic returns from local varieties of chicken. Similarly, local ducks can feed themselves from the wetlands and market response for duck meat is very good. These make the poultry rearing a major success on financial terms. However, there are social and gender dimensions which adds to such favourable conditions.

The livestock market has changed over the past few years, owing to the ban imposed by India on exporting cattle - mainly beef - to Bangladesh. This has helped boost the rearing of local varieties of cattle. Even without such market incentives, cattle rearing used to be a profit making venture during the implementation of RVCC project (Roy et al., 2010). Now the altered market conditions have created greater financial opportunities from livestock rearing. Moreover, the proliferation of commercial farming of Napier grass has made the availability of fodder easier. There are more Paravets now available

\textsuperscript{16} Productivity generally declines during hot summer days due to high temperature variability. Production of broiler chicken requires high initial investments for shed preparation, arrangement of electric fans, etc which are cost intensive and therefore, not immediately replicable compared to desi variety chickens.
in the rural areas leading to the reduction of mortality of livestock. All these have led to higher financial return from this CBA activity.

As explained earlier, goat production on better living conditions were found to make financial return for producers. Of course, it takes some capital investment to construct a platform and a shed for the goats not to be exposed to direct sunlight, mud and humidity. Such favourable conditions ensure animals are not exposed to heat stress, incessant rainfall and diseases. Moreover, there are increased numbers of paravets these days in rural Bangladesh to offer critical health services to livestock at a nominal rate. Better livestock rearing techniques are within reach, even to poorer producers and women. All these have inspired the producers— including smallholders and women producers— to successfully adopt to such money-making CBA.

The entire SWR has been known to produce fish. However, from the 90s to 00s, shrimps were the most noticeable seafood supplied by the region. Following the promotion of Golda-cum-paddy and shrimp-white fish poly-culture under the RVCC project, many NGOs have been promoting such alternative livelihoods for the smallholders. Since there is an established value chain and the farmers are experiencing the benefit of input-based fish farming, the introduction of carp and other popular fin fish variety hasn’t taken time. Due to the existence of a very vibrant marketing channel, most of the products are taken to the market fresh, which eventually get good price. The improvement of road network and transportation system also played a very useful supportive role towards marketing of the products from such CBA activities. The producers believe that, the SWR can intensify the fish farming activities to increase its financial gains from such activities.

The only major challenge being faced by the producers is not having appreciable cool chain17 in the region (Ahmed, 2012). The investors do not find adequate financial

17. Preservation opportunities would significantly enhance bargain power of the producers, while eliminating the possibility of rotting of harvested fish.
incentives to invest in the cool chain due to lack of uninterrupted electricity supply in the region. Had there been better preservation opportunities, the producers would have converted many Bagda farms/ghers into white fish farms, thereby contributing to the overall sustainability of the fish culture activities. In recent years, GOB has taken firm steps to increase electricity production in the SWR, which is expected to increase the overall viability for establishing cool chain and make the fish farming even more economically viable.

Although fruit orchards are returning with good cash, the apparently successful adoption of the CBA is still not among the top ranked on-farm non-crop\(^{18}\) products. For each type of fruit, there is a bit time lag between setting up of an orchard and harvesting it for the first time. This becomes a limiting factor for many poor and landless households in the SWR. Smallholders often do not find logic in establishing an orchard in a leased land, primarily due to short-term leasing contracts and also in anticipation of violation of contractual agreement by the leasee. However, among the households with saline affected lands, such ideas of establishing orchards may be propagated.

Products such as Jujube, mango (particularly in Satkhira district, even in moderate to highly saline prone lands), malta (citrus fruit) and guava (the highly popular Thai variety) have been gaining popularity because of higher economic returns. Jujube and guava are obtaining hefty market prices, much higher than any vegetable. Orchard based products are now seen as high value products. Although orchard received an overall lower evaluation in terms of financial performance, compared to other products, the initial market responses indicate that it will become a major economic activity in coming years.

In comparison to the products discussed in this sub-section, mele-based mats (i.e., *shital pati*) does not offer good economic return. True, mele production does not require any supervision. However, the average earnings from selling a mat does not generally compensate for the time a woman takes to produce a mat. Moreover, there are plastic based alternative to mats, which are also washable. Therefore, mats with biological origin cannot compete economically with such alternatives. This is why, despite NGO insistence, mele cultivation and subsequent production of mats are generally not preferred by the producers.

\(^{18}\) Although the fruits are originated from trees and generally are seasonal products, these are unlike usual field-based crops. Their management, harvesting, packaging and marketing requires significantly different sets of skills and gadgets than usual crops, which is why these are separated from those of usual field-based crops.
6.3 Social Acceptability of on-farm non-crop products

All the top performing on-farm non-crop products are socially acceptable. No one finds it socially derogatory if someone in their neighbourhood engages to produce any of these products. The production and marketing processes have been continued since ages, so there is no pressure on the producers from the society. It is the same crop producers who have diversified and/or introduced advanced techniques, which are admired by the neighbours. Sometimes the neighbours come forward to learn from a current producer by observing the immediate financial return from these activities. The contributions from such CBA activities are increasingly known to all, which makes people interested in such activities (CARITAS, 2007; Khan, 2016; Ahmed et al., 2014).

Goat and sheep production in a small hut (elevated platform and a shed) generally appears intriguing to onlookers and immediate neighbours. In the field visit, it is indeed found that a lady was happily showing the hut for her goats, while her own living space was in a much dilapidated condition! Still she was happily showing the arrangement, hoping to repair her home with the income from these goats someday in near future. Her spirit was much appreciated by her neighbours.

Coastal people often do not find crab meat attractive. The producers find it acceptable that such products will eventually be exported and will not be consumed in the country. Like fish, crab is a socially accepted product. However, there are one or two products which are not socially so acceptable. For example, eel fish (i.e., cuchia; Monopterus Chuchia/ Monopterus Albea\textsuperscript{19}) is kind of fish which resembles snakes. Therefore, not many producers are interested to produce eel fish as a commercial product. Similarly, since the days of implementation of RVCC, pig rearing has never expanded among the beneficiary groups due to religious guidelines against the consumption of pork. Therefore, the local market is next to non-existent and the

19. Already given trials in Magurkuni village of Shayanagar Upazila of Satkhira district.
the economic viability is quite low. While the local market for pork meat cannot be expanded in a Muslim majority society, eel fish could easily be produced and exported (Seraj, S., 2016). However, due to cultural and social issues, there is no point that such products be given high importance in promoting on-farm non-crop CBAs.

6.4 Gender sensitivity of on-farm non-crop products

In general, women are found to be confident in handling any production system (including the marketing of their produce) with exceptions to paddy and shrimp. They have been performing successfully in maintaining the production systems involving fish polyculture, crab fattening (almost entirely by them), goat and sheep rearing, beef fattening/livestock management, dairy management and orchard management. With minimal training and incentives and without any previous experience, women are ready to venture into new such territories of on-farm production systems. Like male farmers, they share problem-related issues with fellow (women) producers, even talk to government-deployed agricultural extension workers/officers, contact agricultural input sellers/distributers to find solutions to production-related problems. From field observations, it appeared that most of the time they remember how one such problem was overcome in their neighbourhood and emulate the solutions.

Women are found to take care of living animals/birds/fish as if those are their family members. They invest a lot of their time, in addition to handling household chores as the prime caregiver for the household, to nurture these. However, they also admit that they do such things for the betterment of the entire family. In return, it is often found that they do not ask for monetary and/or material benefits from the profit. Sometimes they are happy to be recognized by the family members as important contributors to households.

Mrs Monira Akhter Maya of Haybatpur village of Shaymnagar Upazila (Satkhira district) said, “I do not need anything for myself from the profit I make. I am happy that the little money is helping the family to overcome a few obstacles to our livelihoods. As long as this money is contributing to the wellbeing of my family members, I am happy”. She was a beneficiary of Jagoroni Chakra Foundation a few years ago, a member of its producer’s society (JCF, 2017). She has become a successful trainer. She is currently raising 22 desi chicken, two cows, and one goat while offering training services for the NGO. Her earnings allowed her to purchase a camera for her husband so that he can work as an independent cameraman.
Maya successfully diversified the livelihoods of her family, starting from on-farm non-crop production system.

Owing to the established marketing channels for vegetables, oilseeds, spices and lentils, women face little hindrance to market their products. Over the years, they developed an understanding that certain on-farm non-crop products have high demand and better market response during certain periods. For example, if a young bull is fattened just before the Eid-ul-Adha or a goat gains a good size in winter\(^{20}\), the market price will be relatively higher than other times.

Since women have been nurturing local breed of animals/birds/fish since ages, they refresh their knowledge base with advanced training. However, they admit that without the support of NGOs, they would not think to invest in managing an on-farm non-crop production system, especially on living creatures. They admit that the financial support offered by an NGO\(^{21}\) helped them overcome the mental barrier and enabled them to venture into such productive systems.

As in the cases for crop based production systems, the increase in education level within a group has helped them negotiate better with the middlemen purchasing their products. Women are now confident that the middlemen would not be able to exploit them even if their male counterparts are not around during payment.

Some of the NGOs are promoting other group activities. For example, some of the producer groups have group-savings. Those who are confident to take loan from this fund at a nominal rate and expand CBA activities, they receive loans. Field observations suggest that they tend to diversify their economic activities further. Such additional investments are made in both non-crop and crop-based production. There are only a handful of women such as Mrs Maya, who borrowed money for helping her husband to purchase a camera so that the income opportunities are extended from agriculture based activities to non-agriculture based activities.

\(^{20}\) Indicating the season for marriage ceremonies and/or picnic parties when the relative demands for such products generally reach their respective peaks. They may not be aware of exact reasons, however they are aware of market responses.

\(^{21}\) Different NGOs offer different financial packages and the amount varies widely. However, among the research partners, the highest amount provided is found to be BDTaka 16,000 (approximately, US$200) per household.
7. THE OFF-FARM SKILL BASED CBAs

In an agrarian society, agriculture is part of the culture and therefore, if an activity is found to be economically viable, poor people adopt it and propagate rather easily. However, available literature\(^{21}\) suggests that agriculture-based livelihoods under climate change would face increased hardships and uncertainties – particularly in the south-western coastal zone.

People with little access to productive resources need to expand their adaptive capacity by acquiring skills so that they may engage in non-agriculture based livelihoods in the long run. In the short run, perhaps a mixed form of livelihoods involving all adult members of a vulnerable household may work well, where one or more members of the household consider measures to enhance skills for non-agricultural livelihoods. Such diversification of livelihoods is crucial towards risk pooling and spreading the risks and uncertainties associated with agriculture-based livelihoods.

Unfortunately for the rural poor in the SWR, an exposure to new forms of livelihoods does not come easy. They lack social contacts and education, they have limited ability to invest in something new – especially following sustained crop losses due to climate induced adverse effects and subsequent food insecurities they faced. As a consequence, many of the ‘climate victims’ are frustrated and eventually are forced to move out in search of a viable livelihood (Adri, 2013). When ending up in urban centres, the men often become day-labourers or ricksaw/van pullers due to the lack of urban-based livelihood skills, while the women start to work as domestic helps. These options do not require much skills. In this process, their economic solvency perhaps increases slightly, however they face different forms of hazards and well-being challenges (Adri and Simon, 2017).

CBA delivery, therefore, should offer skills enhancement training to vulnerable people, with a focus on the youth. Even during the implementation of RVCC, efforts were made to provide for skills through targeted training so that the recipients of training could find an alternative income generating modality. Such little exposures enabled training recipients to adopt financially viable livelihoods: mat production using local reed production of pickle using kewra fruits, and establishment of commercial nurseries (Ahmed, 2010).

Since the RVCC experience, local NGOs have made tremendous progress in building human capital by diversifying the climate-affected...
people’s skills in last fifteen years. Though the piloting was done with a small fraction of the overall population, it had a snowball effect on the overall population—specially on skills enhancement. In the SWR, a number of NGOs have established vocational training institutions where formal trainings are arranged based on trade-specific curricula duly approved by ‘Bangladesh Technical Education Board’. The graduates receive certificate following the completion of training. They are assisted with proper placement in the formal employment sectors. The youth from the SWR receive vocational trainings to become electrician, welding operator, tailor, computer operator, and carpenter. These formal trainings are not free of cost and the candidates must fulfill the trade-specific criteria.

In addition to these formal trainings, a host of other informal trainings are organized, targeting at illiterate and extreme poor people. In such cases, there is no specific requirement to enroll. They receive trainings on mobile phone and computer repairing, motor cycle mechanic, production of useful household appliances using bamboo, tailoring, beauty parlor management, *karchupi* (embroidery on women and children’s cloths), block and batik works, production of caps and dolls, paper and plastic sheet based shopping bag production, etc. Training to use camera to cover events like marriage, printing any electronic file, management and use of soil testing kits to recommend fertilizer dosage, photocopying, running a grocery store, managing a courtyard-based wholesale vegetable marketing hub, run a commercial rural milk collection centre, etc are some excellent CBA-oriented activities now found to run throughout the SWR.

These trainings are informally given based on personal relationships and in exchange of apprenticeship. In Satkhira Sadar, most of the shopping bags had to be procured by the shop owners from faraway places like Khulna or Dhaka. Its low cost alternatives are now marketed regularly by the destitute women groups who are trained, from the Southernmost Upazila of Shaymnagar. The *karchupi* products and caps produced by a group of women challenging to completely
diversify their economy from Tala Upazila, are marketed in Satkhira and Khulna. A group of girls from Shaymnagar received formal trainings on computer operation for them to enter the formal employment market.

During the field visits, a host of recipients of both formal and informal trainings, mostly young and predominantly women (over 95%), were met. They have become self-reliant by applying their skills and linking up with markets. After talking to the individuals engaged in different activities, the minimum monthly income is found to be about BDT 7,000 (i.e. a small rural grocery store keeper), while their average earning is ranges from BDT 10,000 to 12,000 per month. Clearly, such a monthly earning gives them a financial edge over those who generally run agriculture based livelihoods.

7.1 Technical aspects of skill-based livelihoods

The only major challenge is, one has to learn her/his trade very carefully so that skill acquired may be utilized in a professional way. Of course, many of the trades demand hands-on learning. The more time one spends on a trade, the more likely that s/he gains more experience and be able to handle finer issues. This gradual technical capacity building gives one a professional edge over the others on the same trade.

For formal training opportunities, certain minimum educational qualification is needed. Tailoring requires the least level of education, for example. Even the secondary level school dropouts may enroll in vocational training on tailoring. In such trades, the ratio of man to woman is 2:1.

For non-formal trainings, there is no requirement of minimum education. In non-formal trainings, there are more women than men. Some of the trades such as Karchupi, block and batik, beauty salon operator, etc are more or less designated for women. These trainings do not require day-long sessions and lengthy modules, which is generally preferred by women.

As such, it is difficult for the NGO questionnaire respondents to take part in evaluation of the most effective skills
enhancement training since no NGO runs all the trainings simultaneously. Based on the responses from training recipients, one may sense that technical requirements are generally applicable for all the trades. However, the degree of requirement and its intensity vary significantly from trade to trade.

It is found that hand to eye coordination, patience and a sense of decent design are key technical requirements for the karchupi operators and the mobile phone repairing operators. Cap and shopping bag production require little hands-on training, the need for finesse is much less when the product is for local markets. The producers admitted that their inability to capture a market share in Dhaka may be linked with the level of finesse of their products. Dolls with higher level of finesse and finishing generally fetch higher price, as these products are used by children and they always prefer good looking ones.

A camera and/or a photocopier operator needs to know the basics, where lengthy trainings are not at all needed. Managing grocery requires additional skills of keeping an updated inventory and sensing the demands for certain products. For the management of both grocery and wholesale vegetable marketing hub, the entrepreneur must know basic accounting and simple arithmetic.

7.2 Financial viability of skill-based livelihoods

The highest amount needed to complete a vocational training is generally on the training regarding electrician and welding operator. However, such formal trainings are worth spending. Once a trained person finds right placement, s/he starts to receive monthly salary and never looks back in financial terms. Welding operators who become experts of arc welding may get
thrice the salary compared to a general welding operator. The best ones generally find international placement and remit back handsome amount.

The economic returns from non-formal skills enhancement trainings vary, subject to meticulous application of acquired skills, market linkages, hours spent on the trade on a given day, and the demand for the product/skill – the latter changes with locality, seasonality, etc. Rural level tailors can easily earn BDT 4,000 to 6,000 per month, if s/he does not face steep competition. Since karchupi is generally a skill for a high end product, an average karchupi operator may get in the order of Taka 10,000 per month, without having to invest on raw materials. Generally, the contact person (i.e., the middle man/woman) supplies raw materials, design and collects back all finished products. Similar financing arrangements are seen in Block and Batik works. However, for cap, shopping bags etc., the producer invests on purchasing raw materials, making her/his products and carrying those to market.

Interestingly, the only producer of bamboo-based products informed that he used to earn BDT. 20,000 on an average month during a field visit! However, he has to use quality bamboo. He knows that, if the customers are upset with quality, he will lose his business.

With more and more people are interested to know about the quality of their agricultural top soils before committing to certain crop, the service around soil examination and an immediate recommendation of a balanced fertilizer dose of nitrogen, potassium and phosphorous is becoming a booming business. The one-time cost is Taka 50 per analysis, which is done by using a disposable testing kit (just like checking level of sugar in blood samples). Generally, the wealthier farmers willing to invest on the wellbeing of land are becoming interested in the application of this technology.

The adoption of the same is still low among the poor farmers/smallholders. Both the training and the testing kit are provided by an NGO. Since such a service is available at the doorsteps and the cost and time required

A trained paravet can treat sick animal and can be instrumental in livestock management
for the analysis is minimum, local large farmers generally prefer this handy services. Like paravets, women do handle it very well and make part of living of her household. However, the NGO experimentation with such soil testing kits involving women was extremely limited, which has not been replicated later in other areas. Financing for such initiative has been found to be a limiting factor.

It is heartening to see that in rural set up such skills have become highly useful and making good financial returns. Since none of these skills and the associated services are likely to be interrupted by climate induced hazards, these offer a set of CBA practices which will continue to help people to earn quality livelihoods. If a household can combine one such useful training with the homestead/land based productive system, the resultant financial package is likely to be more than adequate for an average family to sustain livelihoods, despite climate change.

7.3 Social acceptability of skills-based livelihoods

In rural set up, if a member of a household finds a formal employment after a formal vocational training, the household perceives it as a graduation from subsistence to self-reliance. In rural society involving poor households, no success is sweeter than this. This gives a family a social standing, an identity that they have graduated from being nobody to somebody.

Even for the non-formal skills-applying households, with an escalation of household income, the social standing gradually increases. A smallest grocery owner/operator (in case of a rented shop) is socially higher placed than a destitute person. There is no stigma attached to any of the trades that are promoted under skills-based livelihoods. Working women are relieved since they are contributing with a noticeable income and recognized by both husbands and in-laws. This has brought them respect- a recognition that they are to be heard and taken seriously. Not that they did not contribute to household economic conditions. However, the recognition was...
not there before. With the newly acquired skills and their applications, the social acceptance increased manifold.

In the Uttar Hajipur village (Nurnagar Union of Shaymnagar Upazila), where a few women working on *karchupi* and tailoring, their neighbours were asked to comment on their activities. They expressed happiness that the skills were within their village, from which they would learn and address their poverty. The respect earned by the successful women taught their neighbours a lesson that they must also do something noticeable so that they would become self-reliant and might earn similar respect from their respective husbands.

### 7.4 Gender sensitivity involving skills-based livelihoods

It is already mentioned that most of these skills intensive IGAs are suitable for women. Women are increasingly interested in trades such as welding and electrical works, which have been dominated so far by males. Tailoring is almost 100 per cent done by women. Similarly, *karchupi*, cap and bag production, block and batik – all these are most suitable for women and are being 100% taken up by women.

However, there are certain trades where women might not be interested to participate. In the field KII s, women informed that they might not be participating in trainings on carpentry and masonry. The latter sometimes require hanging on a bamboo pole to complete plastering a wall, which is perceived to be highly difficult for women to accomplish given the social norms and the attire they generally wear. However, young women have shown interests in becoming tiles operator, since they hardly require to climb up the wall.

The successful women operators/producers/shop owners have expressed satisfaction that the society has accepted the changes and they are participating in various activities freely. Although they perform their gender-based duties in the household, however they cannot maintain the usual timeline to complete those. Their family members have also adjusted to the new timelines, making it easier for them. There is no denying the fact that the successful women work additional hours since the beginning and they do not have time to relax. However they expressed satisfaction that their hard labour has empowered them economically, while they also enjoy the increased respect from the family members.
It is obvious that the current CBA practices primarily deal with individuals and households in a deliberate effort to build resilience. Since the most vulnerable households within a community are deliberately chosen as recipients of support, such targeted CBA activities, as in the case of RVCC, are still accepted as catalyzers towards building community level adaptive capacities dealing with climate change.

However, in most cases, actions which might have reduced vulnerabilities and/or increased adaptive capacities of a community as a whole are not planned and delivered – even if the project planning processes encompass around the proper application of community level participatory vulnerability assessment methodologies.

Despite such general flaws in current delivery of CBAs in the SWR, a few NGOs have taking keen interest in advancing the adaptation agenda of communities, instead of the individual households from those communities. The most common examples are:

- Construction and functioning of multi-purpose cyclone shelters
- Promotion of Tidal River Management (TRM) and its effective implementation in a sustainable way as a measure to manage waterlogging
- Excavation and re-excavation (i.e., dredging) of canals/khals to facilitate water logging
- Construction of a biological wind barrier (i.e., creation of a green belt) to reduce potential impacts of cyclones
- Recovery of khas lands for the landless people to gainfully utilize such lands
- Running vaccination camps following the outburst of a disease induced by changing weather patterns
- Running a farmers’ field school along with the establishment of a few demonstration plots to allow peer-to-peer learning, cross-fertilization of ideas and help farmers understand environmentally-safe and sustainable (i.e., smart) agricultural practices
- Construction and functioning of community-based water supply system to make safer (non-saline) water available for all
  a. By excavating/re-excavating a pond for the community
  b. By designating a pond available for supplying water and construct a
pond sand filter (PSF) for safer water supply

c. Installation of household and/or community based water harvesters so that the community in question can fetch drinking water starting from the monsoon till two to three months following the recession of monsoon rains

d. Installation of deep tube wells (DTW) where fresh water aquifers are available

e. Installation and commissioning of available and proven desalinization equipment (i.e., Reverse Osmosis plant) so that salinity, arsenic and pollutant free safe water is available (with provisions for door to door water supply)

f. Installation of homestead-based stand-alone filtration units and capacity building of users so that water collected from nearby non-saline ponds may be made free from bacteria/microbial organisms.

From field experiences it appears that CBAs targeted to provide community-wide benefits are generally difficult to implement and perhaps even more difficult to maintain following the installation of hardwares. In most cases, the initial capital cost is quite high, which often discourage donors to offer a hefty support. Moreover, there is a perception that such activities are to be implemented with GOB support.

In water supply related CBAs, cost recovery from the rainwater harvesting (RWH) systems and PSFs from the beneficiaries appears to be a difficult challenge. While the second most difficult challenge is the community-level management of RWHs and PSFs, since these technologies require high initial capital investments. This deals with re-excavation of the available pond for increasing its year-round water holding capacity. Since most of the privately owned ponds in the SWR are predominantly being used as fish culture ponds, while some also offer bathing and washing services to community members, it appears difficult to find ponds to be utilized

Pond-sand filter is a low-cost technology that provides for non-saline water in the SWR
as a source of water to be treated in a PSF before distributed to community members.

Both simple desalinizers (i.e., reverse osmosis equipment) and desalinizers attached with piped water supply system require large capital investments. However, in recent years the NGOs are emphasizing its installation. Once installed, such technologies offer not only non-saline water, also water without the trace of arsenic, iron and other forms of pollutants. However, the high cost is still a deterring factor. Moreover, recovery of cost for only the appropriation of recurring operational and maintenance (O&M) costs appears almost beyond the financial willingness to pay by the poor households. Furthermore, such desalinizing technologies require community land, a permanent structure for its installation, electricity supply and cost for such services, and linkages with technical back-stoppage services, which make the systems theoretically attractive but functionally discouraging.

By examining several models of operation of desalinizers throughout the SWR, it appears that not a single NGO is able to recover even the O&M cost. They are offering full subsidy in the overall installation of the units. However, the technologies are now locally available and back-stoppage services are within a phone call away. The replacement of membranes needs to be done by technicians, while the frequent washing and maintenance works can be delegated to a salaried supervisor with minimal training, the latter being provided by people with technical
knowhow. When piped supply system is attached with a desalinizer, the initial cost escalates further, requiring large scale subsidies to safe water supply. In general, the safe water supply system requires both technical support and large-scale financing for replication in areas where non-saline water is still not available.

The community-wide CBAs (where the entire population in a target community is the beneficiary) are not very common in the SWR. Unlike agriculture-based CBA modalities, community-wide CBAs are not common among CBA-promoting NGOs in the SWR. A few NGOs have been dealing with pond excavation, PSF and rainwater harvesting (RWH) systems for providing drinking water, while only in the past two to three years, desalinization involving the reverse osmosis (RO) technology has been tried by more than one NGOs.

It is therefore difficult to generalize commonly perceived efficiency of various community-wide CBAs, owing to very small experience pools. The questionnaire survey also did not find any specific trends towards understanding of relative effectiveness of CBA-examples. If one NGO is found to have established one or more cost-intensive community-wide CBAs, they tend to indicate that it must be the most successful modality, whereas other NGOs try to prove various elements in the approach which might go wrong and do not support the initial findings. Therefore, it is very difficult to generalize the effectiveness of any such
Table-1: Efficiencies of community-wide CBAs in the SWR by NGOs, as perceived by the stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community-wide CBA practices</th>
<th>Efficiency criteria</th>
<th>General comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>Economic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of cyclone shelters</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal river management</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation/re-excavation of canals</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of green belt</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation of poor in khas lands</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>L - M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running a vaccination camp</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running farmers’ field schools</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBAs for water supply</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation/re-excavation of ponds</td>
<td>H - M</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of a PSF</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>VH - H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing RWH systems</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H - M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of deep tube wells</td>
<td>L - VL</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of desalinizers (RO)</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L - VL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Efficiencies indicated in a sliding scale: Very High (VH), High (H), Medium (M), Low (L) and Very Low (VL).
9. THE STATE OF INTEGRATED APPROACH

An integrated approach to deliver CBAs is gradually emerging. From field visits and the workshop involving NGO personnel, it appears that NGOs are looking forward to a consolidated learning to design an integrated CBA programme. It is generally felt that, the initial experimentation phase has elapsed and an evaluation of the experiments should be done to sieve out the elements of a potential integrated CBA approach.

The production oriented CBA modalities indeed offer a relatively simpler thread to a solution. The ‘good practices’ regarding on-farm production systems (crop and non-crop together) should be integrated with non-farm activities and skills so that a household can find a basket of CBA options to their benefit, and thereby pool all the perceived risks for optimizing livelihoods, defying climate variability and change. Since such an approach should be responsive to individual household’s existing access to/endowment of resources and capacity of individuals, a handful of activities can be chosen for each households based on specific contexts and ground realities around the households.

However, a few additional aspects should be taken into consideration. For example, access to training, skills enhancement, and capacity building – each one tailor-made under a standardized procedure. Again, the existing capacities of the recipients need to be taken into consideration. These should be supplemented with access to CBA financing - perhaps through the linkage with MFIs and other financing channels. Furthermore, the overarching linkages with institutional service delivery mechanisms need to be established so that there is no dearth of information and support.

For the production oriented CBA practices, exposure and linkages with established marketing channels generally appear highly useful. For dairy, local level collection centres have been emerged, which have significantly minimized the risk of milk getting sour. If cool chains are established and operated, it will immensely help the business at grassroots level. A project implemented by Solidaridad Network Asia\(^{23}\) has successfully piloted product-specific collection centres across the greater SWR (SNA, 2017). These offer innovative additions to traditional marketing system, from which the future CBAs can learn lessons.

---

23. Uttaran and Jagoron Chakra Foundation – the two SWR-based NGOs are working in partnership with Solidaridad Network Asia to pilot a few collection centres for horticulture and fisheries products, in addition to establishing dairy product collection centres. The innovations beyond RVCC project have been profusely successful in enhancing marketing of various products.
For the non-farm production based CBAs (such as *karchupi* products, block-batik products, paper/plastic shopping bags, caps, etc.), assistance will be required for the marketing of the products in addition to skill enhancement training and continued support with innovative designs. Efforts may be considered for establishing linkages with city-based fashion houses so that the products are easily marketed and the producers get a good price. It is already seen in the field that, some of the on-farm producers are also engaged in non-farm production activities to increase homestead-level income. Especially women are more interested to participate in such activities. These can be perceived under an integrated approach of CBA.

Grass cultivation as a fodder for dairy cattle/beef fattening is already a winning option. There is further innovation involving hanging plate-based hydroponic grass\(^\text{24}\). There is good financial return if one integrates these activities with beef fattening or dairy production.

\(^{24}\) Uttaran has promoted a few under the SaFAL Project with support from Solidaridad Network Asia.
Financing CBA in a target smallholder’s household in the SWR is a major challenge. NGOs are aware that most of the target beneficiaries do not have the means to arrange CBA finance on their own. Most of the NGO driven projects therefore offer cash or kind support, as repeatedly observed during field visits. However, most of the NGOs do not intend to recover the finance.

It is often meant to be a grant from the project. Such a grant delivered in one or more than one installments after examining progress of grant utilization. There is no denying the fact that such a CBA financing is a prime necessity in order to inspire a smallholder household to undertake a profit-oriented venture. Along with a grant-based financing, NGOs often provide for training, and if needed, inputs for the targeted production.

However, the approach appears to be weak due to obvious deviation from the practices to establish a business model. As soon as the money is given (may also be in terms of material/equipment) away, the psychology of the recipient generally changes: the recipient often tends to consider the whole effort as a part of ‘traditional relief work’, the way the poorest are often supported through various relief activities. The immediate loss of motivation does not inspire the recipient to become self-sustaining in the long run and return the start-up finance back, so that, other poor household could use it.

Although many NGOs are promoting CBA practices that brought good results since RVCC implementation, there is hardly any systematic monitoring to establish a database on the eventual graduation of recipient households. Some of the NGOs have very strong presence in the SWR. They also have good number of field-offices and adequate human resources. However, in most cases, the project related activities are completely closed as soon as a project ends. This is true to RVCC project too.

Part of the problem lies with the project donors. There is hardly any finance to continue the monitoring for a good duration following the completion of a project. As a consequence, no one can evaluate whether the graduation of a poor household has been possible or not; and what is the competitive edge of a successful household?

The CBA financing is often accompanied with training. However, such trainings are often organized in a hurry and there is little or no systematic approach to trainings.
For example, beef fattening is targeted by a number of NGOs, and each training is different from the other. Some follow GOB training criteria, some develop on their own curricula, some have no guideline. There is no attempt to standardize a procedure in a bid to accrue optimal benefits. Although each organization starts with a participatory vulnerability assessment - the way RVCC has started its journey - no two organizations have the same procedure in terms of content, duration of delivery, modality and criteria for engagements. However, to establish successful operational business CBA models, it is important to set up standard procedure for the essential trainings.

In the production oriented CBAs, linkages with micro-financing institutions (MFIs) and government service providers (such as livestock and fisheries health services, the service provided by the SAOs, etc.) are established. Again, once the recipients of NGO supports are exposed to these officials, there is hardly any follow up from the NGOs. The CBA practices are more successful when such monitoring and follow up activities are routinely done by the field personnel.

While there are sporadic efforts to linking MFIs and service provisions those are available around the producers (i.e., CBA practitioners), very little progress is seen in terms of linking producers with respective markets, especially with faraway markets where good prices are offered. For example, organic products (vegetables, fruits, etc.) could fetch much higher price if the producers were linked to urban-based outlets. The extra effort of product certification and product promotion is needed so that such niche products are placed and channeled through niche markets.

Value chains for fruits are functioning well in Satkhira, while further value addition is easily possible by means of transfer of technology for improved sorting, grading and packaging. Mangoes are exported from Satkhira. Similarly, the niche products such as sajna, sapota and narikeli boroi (specific type of jujube which is available for a short time from late-January to end of February) could have been marketed well with careful sorting, grading and innovative packaging. A greater linkage with packaging industries is needed so that the high value products can be marketed with greater care to fetch greater market price. It is observed that the urban consumers are ready to pay higher price for such niche products compared to imported fruits such as apples and pears/Asian pears.
It is obvious that none of the CBA-promoting NGOs in the SWR has a holistic CBA Programme. They develop small scale projects, which are generally limited in terms of finance. Financing CBA projects depends largely on the terms imposed by the donor involved.

Even if an NGO has six different CBA projects, there is hardly any complementarity due to the inability to build synergies between projects with adequate concurrence from the respective donors. While intra-projects synergies are difficult to establish, inter-NGO CBA synergy remains a distant dream.

There are capital based International NGOs (such as CARE Bangladesh, Oxfam GB, Inter Corporation, Concern Worldwide, Islamic Relief, Christian Commission for the Development of Bangladesh, etc.), promoting CBAs in the SWR. Most of the time they deliver in partnership with locally rooted Partner NGOs (PNGO). Although such CBA programming through an INGO-PNGO partnership is continuing for over a decade in the SWR (also elsewhere in the country), there has been little evidence that such vibrant partnerships have forged a synergistic model for CBAs.

Oxfam GB initiated a multi-year integrated CBA programme titled Resilience through Economic Empowerment, Climate Adaptation, Leadership and Learning (REE-CALL). REE-CALL was launched in October 2010 and ran until March 2017, which used to be the largest programme of Oxfam in Bangladesh. Part of REE-CALL was delivered in Satkhira district. The programme integrated rural development (livelihoods component involving group formation, savings, investment and training), resilience building (reduction of vulnerability and enhancement of various adaptive capacities), disaster risk reduction (i.e., DRR, involving risk awareness, dissemination of early warning and group-based community-wide risk amelioration), gender-equity (participation, advocacy, empowerment and mainstreaming) and youth advancement (training, group work and self-reliance).

REE-CALL recognized the complex, interrelated issues of poverty and the lack of agency that make women and men more vulnerable to climate change and prevent them from being resilient to its impacts. The programme built knowledge and capacity within communities to anticipate and cope with climate and disaster-related challenges. The integration enabled the programme to promote gender justice, good governance, access to services and economic
empowerment, which have been considered as foundation blocks for building improved disaster preparedness and resilience.

The model left a legacy of its own to learn from, although similar models have not been emerged to foster all important synergy among various interrelated aspects of building a resilient society. Despite such examples, CBA programming in the SWR has been continuing business as usual.

The role of conducting a participatory vulnerability and capacity assessment should be treated as the first step to understand which livelihoods practices are subject to climate-induced hazards and perturbations, what alternatives are suitable for the altered contexts of vulnerability. It should try to understand the capacities and deployable assets in a target community including prevailing knowledge-base, the knowledge, technological and financial limitations and the ability of the CBA-promoting NGO. This will help to address these challenges and the potential gains from each CBA modalities within the existing realities of the community in question. This step should be mandatory to understand background realities existing in the target community.

Targeting is key to achieve good results. Women’s particular needs, the same for youth and people with disabilities should be given high priority while developing a CBA. One needs to keep in mind of ultimate stakeholder of the CBA solutions, and the circumstances where they live.

From the discussions in the preceding sections it appears that a synergistic CBA model should have the following key components (irrespective of climatic perturbation in and around the area):

- Component on resilient livelihoods development, encompassing both on-farm and off-farm activities, having linkage with market mechanisms;
- Component on resilient community well-being, integrating both household-based and community-wide DRR, health (including healthcare, safe water supply and sanitation) and environmental issues;
- Component on gender-equity, which should enable women to become self-reliant without having to sacrifice healthy personal and family life, thereby transforming them from mere victims of climate change to change-makers towards resilience building;
- Component involving the participation of youth in order to groom them to taking central roles in social development processes;
- Component on self-learning and peer-to-peer sharing of good practices, along with strong knowledge management and advocacy for wider policy linkage;

- Component on governance so that the above mentioned components are greatly facilitated through the provisions created by the government actors and institutions, accessed through the application of rights based approach and participatory processes.

The good and apparently successful examples of CBA modalities from a large pool of examples presented in the earlier sections need to be included under each of the above mentioned components.

The social and environmental safeguards must be analyzed against all forms of potential interventions so that none of the interventions can cause any harm to society and the adjoining environment. Efforts must be made forging partnerships and creating linkages with development processes that are led by the GOB service provisioning institutions. Crop/horticulture, fisheries and livestock development, with or without climate change, should not be integrated by NGOs with limited staff support in areas where GOB service is largely absent. In order to emulate best practices, forging partnership with GOB institutions and local-level offices and also with champion NGOs is a prerequisite to ensure that the design of the programme/project might leave a lasting impression on the recipient communities.

It is to be borne in mind that, the apparent success of many of the CBA examples would not have happened if the general development processes in the backdrop were not orchestrated by the GOB, complemented by general economic development of the country, urbanization processes, market development and many other game-changing conditions. These have culminated into a conducive socio-economic condition that enabled the CBA beneficiaries to perform well.
Community-Based Adaptation

The on-farm CBA efforts are increasingly becoming integrated farming, while the integration is taking place among sub-sectors (say, crops with animal husbandry, crop-fish poly-culture etc.). A few NGOs are working on creation of market linkages, while integration of household-based production system with GOB service delivery is targeted by a few NGOs. It is heartening to see that, both on-farm and off-farm CBA practices have been successfully integrated women as the target implementers of CBAs, which have gradually given confidence to those women and helping them to overcome challenges posed by climate variability and change.

Many of the production oriented CBAs are found to respond to market demands. Which is why, a few known CBA modalities such as mele-based mat production has largely been dropped for relatively low market response. On the other hand, excellent market responses for mete-potato, chui-jhal, jujube (particularly in Satkhira), dike vegetables (various types), non-shrimp fish, goat/sheep and embroidery/karchupi made such CBA practices as highly successful.

There are also some efforts towards preparing the vulnerable youth for future off-farm employment opportunities. The employment, often self-employment opportunities are rapidly expanding as a result of the overall socio-economic development of the country. This has resulted in the expansion of market for various products. Moreover, rapid urbanization is requiring specific skills for the provision of various services. These opportunities are generally tapped for the greater engagement of youth in off-farm employment.

Since the RVCC days, the NGOs of the SWR have been embracing such opportunities, greatly investing in enhancing skills of vulnerable people, mostly young women, so that they get a chance to transform themselves from desperation to self-reliance. It is heartening to observe that the targeted training approach, in the name of CBA, are helping training recipients to earn and contribute to households and gaining social respect as a bonus – the latter further inspiring other young males and females.

The on-farm CBA efforts are increasingly becoming integrated farming, while the integration is taking place among sub-sectors (say, crops with animal husbandry, crop-fish poly-culture etc.). A few NGOs are working on creation of market linkages, while integration of household-based production system with GOB service delivery is targeted by a few NGOs. It is heartening to see that, both on-farm and off-farm CBA practices have been successfully integrated women as the target implementers of CBAs, which have gradually given confidence to those women and helping them to overcome challenges posed by climate variability and change.

Many of the production oriented CBAs are found to respond to market demands. Which is why, a few known CBA modalities such as mele-based mat production has largely been dropped for relatively low market response. On the other hand, excellent market responses for mete-potato, chui-jhal, jujube (particularly in Satkhira), dike vegetables (various types), non-shrimp fish, goat/sheep and embroidery/karchupi made such CBA practices as highly successful.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Although the RVCC model and approaches are still generally seen as the dominant CBA approach, there have been significant improvements over the years in the design and delivery of CBAs in the SWR. The dominant approach is still the production of food and maintenance of household food security among poor and climate-affected households, especially through on-farm crops and non-crop production systems. However, the promotion of off-farm employment opportunities by means of training has been gaining more popularity. Such skills enhancement trainings have been targeting at current market demands.
The summary of ‘effective’ CBA options is placed in the following table.

**Table-2: Summary of ‘effective’ household/individual level CBA options by category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>CBA category</th>
<th>Examples of effective CBAs in the SWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resilient livelihoods development</td>
<td>HH vegetable garden (sac-bag + dike vegetable); saline tolerant paddy; integrated cropping, high value crop production and promotion Supporting activities: Training, demonstration, linkages with micro-financing institutions (MFI); partnership with DAE/DOL/DOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>On-farm crop production</td>
<td>Small-scale fisheries; goat rearing (also sheep); poultry rearing; crab fattening; livestock/dairy production; fruit orchard Supporting activities: Training, demonstration, linkages with micro-financing institutions (MFI); establishment of crab hatcheries, training of young women to become paravets; partnership with DAE/DOL/DOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>On-farm non-crop production</td>
<td>Vocational skills enhancement (<em>karchupi</em>/embroidery, computer operation, mobile repairing, block &amp; batik, tailoring, electrician/beautician, etc.); small trading/grocery management including training on accounting; women’s cooperative for vegetable marketing Supporting activities: Certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Off-farm</td>
<td>Drainage capacity improvement (<em>khal</em>/river excavation), healthcare camps, cyclone early warning, awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Resilient community, DRR, healthcare</td>
<td>Support for livelihoods skills; IGA training; connecting with micro-financing institutions, establishment of linkages with markets/value chain (including support for the establishment of cool chain infrastructure); paravet training Supporting activities: Training, certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Women’s self-reliance</td>
<td>Support for livelihoods skills (paravet included); IGA training; group organization; awareness on early warning system Supporting activities: Training, certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Youth development</td>
<td>Farmer’s field school; Supporting activities: Group discussion sessions; exchange visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Self-learning and sharing</td>
<td>Collective bargaining on access to community/common pool resources; policy dialogue Supporting activities: Leadership training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Engagement in local governance</td>
<td>Inclusive and participatory hazard management planning; management of common pool resources including drinking water infrastructure (i.e. PSF, community-based RWH etc.); tidal river management in water-logged areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CBAs targeted at community-wide adaptation benefits are seldom practiced by NGOs. Complex socio-economic and political realities generally discourage NGOs to venture onto such CBA practices. Given that NGOs often have small personnel pool, they cannot engage people to work with all stakeholders to negotiate/foster amicable solutions in a situation of conflict. Moreover, in cases of conflicting situations, local level leaders exert more power than what an NGO personnel deployed at local level can mediate. As a result, investments in community-wide adaptation are rather low. Donor financing is also insignificant to promote such practices.

In spite of such difficulties, due to lack of any viable solution to provisioning of safer and non-saline drinking water, NGOs are still trying to find technologically feasible and economically viable alternate solutions for the supply of safe drinking water. It is found that, for most of the available technologies, either the initial capital cost, or the post-installation management, or both are regarded as key barriers to find a suitable solution to address the drinking water related issues.

The lack of operation and maintenance (O&M) is a chronic problem in relation to promote community-wide adaptation practices. Since the recipients of such CBA modalities are often poor and marginalized people, the low level willingness to pay is a certain deterrent in prioritizing solutions that require major O&M costs and efforts. Management difficulties often lead to failure, which is intertwined with local politics and lack of governance. Even the involvement of GOB organizations such as DPHE25 could not stimulate successful adoption of CBA practices involving drinking water in the SWR.

**Recommendations**

There are a few gaps in the overall approach to deliver CBAs. Lack of proper planning and more importantly, lack of adequate financing do not often allow the NGOs to design a holistic approach, encompassing all aspects of development simultaneously. Although there are models that brought an integrated approach, the learning hasn’t been initiated involving non-partner/non-participating NGOs. It is recommended that the future actors may foster a synergistic approach to CBA.

**Livelihoods** should be developed through the adoption of techniques which are easily replicable, requiring little know-how and capital investment (i.e., affordable), can be

---

25. Department of Public Health Engineering, the national agency with mandate to provide drinking water outside Metropolitan areas.
propagated through peer-to-peer sharing; technologies that are not so demanding (time and efforts required to handle it on a regular basis), highly effective in the changing context, local availability (in terms of supply, including parts), and cost-efficient. Both the techniques and technologies must be producing/giving back more than what is needed to procure them, must not be climate- and gender-insensitive and not create social chaos. Those techniques and technologies may be targeted at enhancing on-farm as well as off-farm livelihoods.

The NGO that is interested to uptake the CBA approach should find modalities that ensure community well-being, including elements of disaster risk reduction, healthcare, safe water supply, sanitation, and an environment which does not pose any threat to anybody within the community.

Any CBA approach which aggravates gender-based inequity in the recipient community must not be promoted. Targeting approach should focus women’s
particular situation prevailing in Bangladesh and carefully analyze each CBA modality to understand gender-specific issues and concerns. **It is recommended that, while considering CBA for women, there should be associated/support activities in terms of target women’s awareness raising and capacity building, skills enhancement, and access to both micro-financing and market value chains.**

The off-farm training for employment generation should be chosen carefully so that the target women, after receiving trainings, are not forced to live elsewhere against their will, thereby sacrificing their personal and family lives.

**Targeting should** also **focus youth and people with disability**, as much as possible, keeping an eye on their respective vulnerabilities and capabilities. A careful selection is the key to meaningfully engage these target groups in CBA activities.

Wider alliance building with national institutions and champion NGOs, complemented by a robust knowledge management and policy advocacy can play vital roles in changing the mindset and bringing in policy coherence. These aspects can be quite instrumental in the wider promotion of successful CBA activities throughout the country. **It is recommended that the NGOs should build alliance with national institutions and NGOs that are champions in promoting successful CBAs.**

It is to be borne in mind that, many of the perceived best practices in the SWR are easily replicable, with or without the consideration of climate change. While climate sensitivity screening at the outset of the promotion/implementation of a particular CBA can still be useful and a community vulnerability and capacity assessment is still a starting point, all other aspects of above-mentioned CBA modalities can be replicated elsewhere,

26. Annex-5 and Annex-6 presents tables indicating replicability of each CBA type considered in the study.
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ANNEX-2: A CBA FRAMEWORK

Applicable level: Local institution/ community/ household/ individual level

Livelihoods are made climate-resilient

Indicators
- Local institutions have access to climate information
- Local plans or policies support climate-resilient livelihoods
- Local government and NGO extension workers understand climate risks and are promoting adaptation strategies
- People are generating and using climate information for planning
- Households are employing climate-resilient agricultural practices
- Households have diversified livelihoods, including nonagricultural strategies
- People are managing risk by planning for and investing in the future

Disaster risk reduction (DRR) efforts are considered, management established

Indicators
- Local institutions have access to hazard risk information which establish links with climate-related causes and climate-induced hazards
- Local hazard/disaster risk management plans are developed and being implemented
- Functional early warning systems including dissemination modalities in place and being practiced
- Local government institutions has available capacities to respond to hazards (not allowing disasters to set in), including mobilization of human resources, finance, management mechanisms and technologies
- Every individual, including women and people with disabilities have access to early warnings for climate-induced hazards
- Households have protected reserves of food and inputs for well-being and restoration of livelihoods
- Households have secure shelter including approach roads and communication mechanisms
- Key productive and non-productive livelihood assets are safeguarded
- People have mobility (assistance, in cases of PWDs) to escape hazard-related risks
Capacities of institutions/communities/households and individuals are adequately developed

Indicators

- Local institutions have capacities to monitor, analyze and disseminate information on current and future climate risks
- Local institutions have capacity and resources to plan and implement community based adaptation activities
- Financial services are available to households
- People have knowledge and skills to employ adaptation strategies
- People have access to seasonal forecasts and other climate information

Underlying contexts of vulnerabilities are understood and addressed

Indicators

- Social and economic safety nets are available to poor households and individuals (good governance in targeting) and free from elite capture
- Local planning processes are participatory and inclusive (i.e., women and other marginalized groups have a voice in local planning processes)
- Locally available state controlled/owned assets are deployed to create opportunities for the poor and marginalized
- Local policies provide access to and control over critical livelihoods resources for all
- Men and women are working together to address challenges
- Households have access over critical livelihoods resources
- Women and other marginalized groups have equal access to information, skills and services
- Women and other marginalized groups have equal rights and access to critical livelihoods resources
Strategic Approaches Being Used by the RVCC project to Increase Household Level Adaptive Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Adaptation Measure</th>
<th>Brief Description of the Adaptation Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase food through agriculture</strong></td>
<td>Drought tolerant crops/vegetables</td>
<td>Introduction of drought tolerant crops such as groundnuts, watermelon, etc. that can be grown under drought conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Embankment cropping</td>
<td>Cultivation of beans, gourds, okra and other vegetables on the embankments between prawn ponds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floating gardens</td>
<td>Cultivation of vegetables on floating beds of water hyacinth (hydroponics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homestead gardening</td>
<td>Cultivation of vegetables and fruits on homestead plots for consumption and market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-cost irrigation</td>
<td>Demonstration of treadle pump and other simple technologies for irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saline tolerant non-rice crops</td>
<td>Introduction of saline tolerant varieties of chili, mustard, maize and potato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase income through alternative livelihoods</strong></td>
<td>Apiculture &amp; honey processing</td>
<td>Beekeeping and processing of honey for market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cage aquaculture</td>
<td>Small-scale fish farming in cages, implemented in household ponds or common water bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cattle rearing</td>
<td>Raising cattle for consumption and market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cottage industries</td>
<td>Production of mele (reed) mats, recycled paper bags and bamboo baskets for market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crab fattening</td>
<td>Collection, rearing and feeding of crabs for a period of 15 days to increase their market value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-resistant tree plantation</td>
<td>Homestead planting of drought-resistant fruit and timber trees for longer term income generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duck rearing</td>
<td>Raising ducks to produce meat and eggs for consumption and market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goat rearing</td>
<td>Raising goats for consumption and market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mele (reed) cultivation</td>
<td>Cultivation of reeds that are used to produce mats that are widely used for sitting and sleeping on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursery &amp; homestead afforestation</td>
<td>Establishment of community nurseries and distribution (with handling instructions) of indigenous varieties of tree saplings (mango, coconut, sofeda, korai, guava, mehaguni, neem, kewra, etc.) to beneficiaries for homestead planting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Adaptation Measure</td>
<td>Brief Description of the Adaptation Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig rearing</td>
<td>Raising pigs for consumption and market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry rearing</td>
<td>Raising chickens to produce meat and eggs for consumption and market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prawn fish poly-culture</td>
<td>Prawn and fish culture in fresh-water <em>ghers</em> (ponds)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline-tolerant tree plantation</td>
<td>Planting of saline tolerant fruit and timber trees for longer term income generation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrimp fish poly-culture</td>
<td>Shrimp and fish culture in salt-water <em>ghers</em> (ponds)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase food availability/</td>
<td>Improvement of food storage</td>
<td>Promotion of indigenous techniques for protecting food stores from flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>storage</td>
<td>Introduction of cooking stoves</td>
<td>Promotion of flood-proof cooking stoves made of local materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve health and personal</td>
<td>Improvement of hygiene and sanitation</td>
<td>Raise awareness about personal hygiene and sanitation and promote use of hygienic latrines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>safety</td>
<td>Protection against cyclones</td>
<td>Work with communities to allow minority groups to access existing cyclone protection facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase access to safe water</td>
<td>Deep Tube Wells</td>
<td>Drill deep tube wells to provide safe water to households for cooking and drinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household pond protection</td>
<td>Promote protection of small ponds to provide safe water for cooking and drinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous methods of water</td>
<td>Collection and sanitary storage of rainwater in earthen pots</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collection</td>
<td>Pond sand filters (PSF)</td>
<td>Construction of pond-sand-filters to provide safe water for cooking and drinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainwater harvesting</td>
<td>Improved technology for rain water collection from roofs and storage in a tank</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe water &amp; sanitation</td>
<td>Raise awareness about methods for collecting &amp; storing safe water and sanitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve safety of housing and</td>
<td>Safe havens for domestic animals</td>
<td>Establishment of safe havens for animals to protect from flood, storm and cyclone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other property</td>
<td>Storm-resistant housing</td>
<td>Promotion of storm resistant construction features, including local technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind break tree plantation</td>
<td>Promote plantation of trees for protection against storms and cyclones</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Approaches Being Used by the RVCC project to Increase Community-level Adaptive Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Adaptation Measure</th>
<th>Description of Adaptation Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community-level Strategies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase access to common property resources</td>
<td>Access to common property regimes within the waterlogged areas</td>
<td>Negotiations with locally-elected bodies and influential people to allow access by beneficiaries to common water bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce threats through community-based initiatives</td>
<td>Canal excavation</td>
<td>Promotion of canal excavation for improved drainage to reduce water-logging/flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclone preparedness</td>
<td>Raise awareness about cyclone preparedness and promote the construction of cyclone shelters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raise height of embankments</td>
<td>Promote the raising of height of embankments in order to protect from flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tidal River Management (TRM)</td>
<td>Promote tidal river management to protect from water-logging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ‘Effective’ CBA options in the SWR by category and their replication potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL. No.</th>
<th>CBA category</th>
<th>Examples of effective CBAs in the SWR</th>
<th>Replication potential in non-climate change contexts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resilient livelihoods development</td>
<td>HH vegetable garden (sac-bag + dike vegetable); saline tolerant paddy; integrated cropping, high value crop production and promotion</td>
<td>All the CBA modalities have potential for replication (locational contexts have to be duly examined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>On-farm crop production</td>
<td>Supporting activities: Training, demonstration, linkages with micro-financing institutions (MFI); partnership with DAE/DOLS/DOF</td>
<td>All the CBA modalities have potential for replication (locational contexts have to be duly examined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>On-farm non-crop production</td>
<td>Small-scale fisheries; goat rearing (also sheep); poultry rearing; crab fattening; livestock/dairy production; fruit orchard</td>
<td>All the CBA modalities have potential for replication (locational contexts have to be duly examined)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Off-farm</td>
<td>Vocational skills enhancement *(karchupi)*embroidery, computer operation, mobile repairing, block &amp; batik, tailoring, electrician/beautician, etc.); small trading/grocery; women’s cooperative for vegetable marketing</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting activities: Certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Resilient community, DRR, healthcare</td>
<td>Drainage capacity improvement *(khol)*river excavation), healthcare camps, cyclone early warning, awareness</td>
<td>DRR is location sensitive, however CBA modalities have high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Women’s self-reliance</td>
<td>Support for livelihoods skills; IGA training; connecting with micro-financing institutions, establishment of linkages with markets/value chain</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting activities: Training, certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Youth development</td>
<td>Support for livelihoods skills (paravet included); IGA training; group organization; awareness on early warning system</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting activities: Training, certification, apprenticeship, placement support, start-up capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL. No.</td>
<td>CBA category</td>
<td>Examples of effective CBAs in the SWR</td>
<td>Replication potential in non-climate change contexts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Self-learning and sharing</td>
<td>Farmers’ field school; Supporting activities: Group discussion sessions; exchange visits</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Policy advocacy&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Collective bargaining on access to community/common pool resources; policy dialogue</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting activities: Leadership training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Engagement in local governance&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Inclusive and participatory hazard management planning</td>
<td>Having high potential for replication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>25</sup> Also useful at institutional/community level.

<sup>26</sup> More applicable for community level/national level, however individuals need to participate and CBA programme must ensure individual’s participation in collective actions.
Efficiencies of community-wide CBAs in the SWR by NGOs and their replication potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community-wide CBA practices</th>
<th>Efficiency criteria</th>
<th>General comment</th>
<th>Potential for replication in non-climate change contexts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of cyclone shelters</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal river management</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation/re-excavitation of canals</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of green belt</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH - L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation of poor in khas lands</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>L - M</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running a vaccination camp</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running farmers’ field schools</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>VH</td>
<td>M - H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-wide CBA practices</td>
<td>Efficiency criteria</td>
<td>General comment</td>
<td>Potential for replication in non-climate change contexts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Economic Social Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation/ re-excavation of ponds</td>
<td>H - M VH VH VH</td>
<td>Water quality maintenance can be a limiting factor, which increases overhead costs</td>
<td>No need for replication where adequate groundwater is available for tubewells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of a PSF</td>
<td>H VH - H VL - L H</td>
<td>O&amp;M fails almost everywhere, most 1 to 2 year old PSFs are found inoperable</td>
<td>No need for replication where adequate groundwater is available for tubewells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing RWH systems</td>
<td>H H - M H - M VH</td>
<td>Does not work throughout the dry season (Feb to May), HH-based systems are costly</td>
<td>No need for replication where adequate groundwater is available for tubewells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of deep tube wells</td>
<td>L - VL M VH</td>
<td>Safe aquifers do not exist in economically exploitable layers</td>
<td>In haor region, this may a potential solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of desalinizers (RO)</td>
<td>H L - VL VH</td>
<td>VH initial investment and high O&amp;M costs, water delivery systems are developing, cost recovery is being given high priority</td>
<td>Excellent potential exists in SWR and to a lesser extent in the South-central region; however still very costly solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Efficiencies indicated in a sliding scale: Very High (VH), High (H), Medium (M), Low (L) and Very Low (VL).