Using data for TOC Monitoring and Reviews

For the full TOPS TOC curriculum

go to http://www.fsnnetwork.org/theory-change-training-curriculum
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M&amp;E System</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMTS</td>
<td>Routine Monitoring Tracking System</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBSS</td>
<td>Beneficiary Base Sample Survey</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UP MSS</td>
<td>Management Score Sheet for UP</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDC MSS</td>
<td>Management Score Sheet for VDC</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAIRb</td>
<td>Fostering Learning Adaptation in Resilience Building</td>
<td>Semi Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMTS</td>
<td>Program Monitoring Tracking System</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL, FE</td>
<td>Base Line, Final Evaluation</td>
<td>Two times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTE</td>
<td>Mid Term Evaluation</td>
<td>One time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Use Monitoring</td>
<td>End Use Monitoring of ration participants</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>Detail Implementation Plan</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**

- **Progress Category**
  - Good progress
  - On track progress
  - Behind progress

- **TOC statement**
  - Intermediate result
  - Outcome
  - Output

- MoV
Data utilization example 1: Consistent progress from one level to another

3.2. Local Government Institutions’ (Union Parishad) Capacity and implementation of DCRM activities increased

3.2.1 Increased resources for disaster and risk management actions at union and upazila level
- Indicator: UP incorporated DRR/CCA activities in UDPM from CAP
  Progress: FY17-T 15 UP- A 56 UP
  Data Source: BBSS

3.2.1.2: Union Parishad lobbies for increased DCRM resources from Upazila/District

3.2.1.3: Union Disaster Management Plan Developed
- Indicator: UP adopting UDMP
  Progress: T-115 UP, A- 115 UP
  Data Source: RMTS

Union and Upazilla DMC committees trained on DCRM and resource mobilization
- Indicator: people trained in disaster preparedness
  Progress: T-13963, A-9565
  Data Source: RMTS

Comments:
- Union Parishad lobbies for increased DCRM resources from Upazila/District: There is no direct indicator to measure the progress. Program will consider a indicator/data source to measure this.
Example 2: Lower level progresses are “behind” but upper level “on track”

1.4. Increased utilization of financial services by PEP (Female and Male)

1.4.1: Increased savings of PEP

1.4.2: PEP’s access to financial institutions

Indicator: PEP use of savings money
Progress: 1st round 50% 2nd round-65%
Data Source: FLAIRb

Indicator: VSLA members average savings increased.
Progress: FY17-200 Tk, FY18 Q1-1400 Tk
Data Source: RMTS

Indicator: PEP trained on Financial Literacy and Management.
Progress: FY18- T 144,070- A 25,081 (Q3)
Data Source: RMTS

Comments:
• VSLA participants not taking loans but only used this for saving – participants should be able to take out loans from VSLA without feeling the fear that they will not be paid back.
• At upper level, there might be influence of other factors which program should explore
Example 3: Lower level progresses are “On track” but upper level “behind”

3.1. Increased preparedness of PEP households and communities to mitigate and respond to shocks

3.1.1: Communities’ capacity to prepare for shocks increased

- **Indicator:** Communities developed CRA
  - **Progress:** T-947, A-947
  - **Data Source:** BBSS

- **Indicator:** PEP receiving risk and early warning information
  - **Progress:** FY17 - T 35%- A 56%
  - **Data Source:** BBSS

- **Indicator:** Facilitate UISC Entrepreneur to provide Early Warning
  - **Progress:** FY18, T-115, A-147
  - **Data Source:** RMTS

3.1.1.1: Weather forecast and flood early warning disseminated to communities

- **UISC entrepreneurs trained to access flood warning and weather forecast/information**

3.1.2: Community level contingency and climate change adaptation plans developed

- **Indicator:** Community level contingency plan developed
  - **Progress:** T 947, A-947
  - **Data Source:** RMTS

- **Indicator:** Men and women disaster volunteers trained on DCRM
  - **Progress:** T-13963, A-9565
  - **Data Source:** RMTS

Comments:
- Access to early warning information still lacking in many areas
- Very few respondents mentioning about idiosyncratic shocks despite the fact that these greatly affect them
- Participants not aware of contingency planning
Summary: ToC progress mapping based on M&E results

- On track: 64%
- Behind: 13%
- Not yet measured: 18%
- Good: 5%